

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_111973</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Growth, Nutrient uptake and yield of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) as influenced by organic and inorganic K fertilizers</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 yes, as it provides insights into optimizing fertilizer combinations for enhanced growth, yield, and economic returns in Okra cultivation.</p> <p>2 Potassium Fertilization Effects on Growth, Nutrient Uptake, and Yield of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench): A Comprehensive Analysis</p> <p>3 succinctly summarizing the research objectives, methods and key findings.</p> <p>4 Yes, the manuscript's subsections and structure are appropriately organized, contributing to clarity and reader comprehension.</p> <p>5 The manuscript demonstrates scientific accuracy, incorporating a well-structured methodology and findings consistent with established principles in agricultural science.</p> <p>6 No additional references are needed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide a brief explanation of Presmud and its role in the introduction to enhance reader understanding.</li> <li>• Consider including a graphical representation of the experimental design for visual clarity.</li> <li>• Clarify the significance of the specific ratios in the fertilizer treatment</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are a few instances where sentence structure and word choice could be refined for better clarity and precision. Consider a final proofread to ensure fluency and coherence throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nora Chaouqi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>FSTS, Morocco</b>