

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111973
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, Nutrient uptake, and yield of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) as influenced by organic and inorganic K fertilizers
Type of the Article	Original

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript is great. "Studying the growth, nutrient uptake, and yield of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) under the influence of organic and inorganic potassium (K) fertilizers is crucial in providing valuable insights into optimizing cultivation practices for enhanced crop productivity. Understanding the impact of different fertilizers on Okra can lead to improved resource management, sustainable agriculture, and increased food production. This research is important to the scientific community as it contributes to our knowledge of plant nutrition and helps develop more efficient and eco-friendly farming strategies, addressing global food security challenges". All these points should be well communicated in the manuscript.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable, providing a clear indication of the study's focus on the growth, nutrient uptake, and yield of Okra influenced by organic and inorganic K fertilizers.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive, effectively summarizing the key aspects of the study, including the variables considered and the main findings. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, facilitating a logical flow of information. Each section seems well-organized and contributes to the overall coherence of the paper. The manuscript appears scientifically correct, with the methods, results, and conclusions aligning with sound research principles. The references provided are sufficient and generally recent, supporting the information presented in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Koleayo Omoyajowo
Department, University & Country	USA