

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113183
Title of the Manuscript:	WHAT IS THE BEST INDEX FOR EVALUATION OF DETRUSOR CONTRACTILITY IN WOMAN DEPENDING ON URODYNAMIC DIAGNOSIS AND AGE?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses an important problem not often well understood</p> <p>2. No. EVALUATION OF DETRUSOR CONTRACTIONS IN WOMEN BY URODYNAMIC STUDY would be a more suitable title</p> <p>3. The abstract is confusing to the readers with too many short forms that are not described.</p> <p>4. They are fine</p> <p>5. It is difficult to understand from the manuscript what is K value and how it was calculated and how it varies</p> <p>6. OK</p> <p>It would be helpful to re-write the article so that those who have not heard about urodynamic studies can understand in simple words</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The entire article full of shortforms is difficult to read</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A simpler shorter to the point revision would be helpful</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Gnanaraj
Department, University & Country	India