

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_112616</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Job Recruitment Prediction through Supervised Learning and Structured Intelligent System: A Data Analytics Approach</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Date: 24/01/2024</p> <p>To: editorial team of Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science            Subject: <u>Submission of review result on</u>  <u>Manuscript Title: Enhancing Job Recruitment Prediction through Supervised Learning and Structured Intelligent System: A Data Analytics Approach</u> Manuscript  <u>Number: Ms_JAMCS_112616</u></p> <p>Dear academic editor of journal, I have summarized the review result of paper ID <b>Ms_JAMCS_112616</b> according basic guidelines for review, I put my answer for each six points through red color.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In scope of the journal: Answer: <b>Yes the paper is within scope of the journal</b></li> <li>Scientifically valid: Answer: <b>yes it has clear methodology and research philosophical assumptions</b></li> <li>Technically accurate in its methods and results; answer: <b>Yes. I have no doubt in methodology and analysis section of the paper.</b></li> <li>Representative of a specific advance, or replication, or null/negative result, which is worthy, of publication; answer: <b>Yes. The whole analysis and results has clear implication</b></li> <li>As reproducible as possible; answer: <b>Yes. Paper has research gap</b></li> <li>Ethically sound. Answer: <b>Yes.</b></li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">How to improve?</p> <p>The researchers show made amendments according to the listed points as follow:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No clear research hypothesis</li> <li>Check the Logical flow of ideas from general to particular for the whole paper</li> <li>Literature review section should link with most recent sources in the field</li> <li>No limitation of paper with future research direction</li> </ol> <p>Dear honorable editor, thank you so much for inviting me this paper. If you have any suggestion you can ask me once again and I need certificate of review.            Decision: <b>Accept with minor revision</b>            Kind regards,            Dr.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kanbiro Orkaido Deyganto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopia</b>