

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112216
Title of the Manuscript:	Interpolative Contraction in the Framework of Super Metric Spaces
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The authors introduced some fixed point results for interpolative Kannan type contractions on super metric spaces. The authors extend the work of Karapinar and Fulga 2022 using interpolative approach.</p> <p>2. The title is not suitable; it should be “(λ, α)-interpolative Kannan Type Contractions on Super Metric Spaces”</p> <p>3. The abstract is not comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes; however, there is need for the authors to address the comments highlighted on the paper.</p> <p>6. The references are recent but only one is cited in the paper.</p> <p>7. There is need for the authors to check all the typos in the manuscripts.</p> <p>8. All the reference should be cited and viceversa.</p> <p>9. The introduction is scanty as such more interesting results should be revisited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	An example is at least required to support the results, even if the comparative example is not possible. In some cases, example with application are required to justify the validity of the presented results.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sirajo Yahaya
Department, University & Country	American University of Nigeria, Nigeria