

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJR2H_112943</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Effect of Anisopus mannii on blood glucose levels of normal and diabetic albino rats</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The manuscript investigating the hypoglycemic and anti-diabetic properties of Anisopus mannii stem aqueous extract is significant for the scientific community, particularly in the field of herbal medicine and diabetes management. It provides valuable insights into the potential therapeutic effects of a natural extract, contributing to the ongoing research on alternative treatments for diabetes.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Hypoglycemic and Anti-diabetic Property of Anisopus Mannii Stem Aqueous Extract in Alloxan-induced Diabetic Rats," effectively conveys the main focus of the study and is suitable for the content presented.</p> <p>The abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive, summarizing the research objectives, methods, key findings, and conclusions concisely. It provides readers with a clear overview of the study's significance and outcomes.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate, with distinct sections for introduction, methods, results, discussion, conclusion, and references. This organization facilitates understanding and navigation through the research findings and interpretations.</p> <p>Based on the information provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, with detailed descriptions of the research methodology, results, and discussion of findings in the context of existing literature.</p> <p>The references provided are extensive and cover a wide range of literature relevant to the research topic. However, some references may be <b>outdated, and adding more recent studies could further strengthen the manuscript's credibility and relevance.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language and English quality of the article seem suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>However, minor revisions for clarity and precision may enhance the overall readability and professionalism of the manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sakina Ruhi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Management and Science University, Malaysia</b>