

# Assessment of Spatial variability in Groundwater quality of Kurnool region Using Geographical Information System

## Abstract

Groundwater samples were collected by using GPS locations at various farming situations in different mandals of Kurnool district. The irrigation water quality indices were assessed to classify the ground water for its suitability based on ionic properties viz., pH, EC,  $\text{Ca}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Na}^{+}$  and  $\text{K}^{+}$ ;  $\text{CO}_3^{-2}$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^{-}$ ,  $\text{Cl}^{-}$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{-2}$ . The pH, EC, SAR, RSC, Kellys ratio, Saturated sodium per centage and Permeability index in groundwater ranged from 6.5-8.4, 0.4-15.6 ( $\text{dSm}^{-1}$ ), 0.44-28.77 ( $\text{mmol l}^{-1}$ )<sup>1/2</sup>, -66.8-15.4 ( $\text{me l}^{-1}$ ), 0.15-24.61, 11.04-94.89 and 17.22-111. The concentration of cations viz.,  $\text{Ca}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Na}^{+}$  and  $\text{K}^{+}$  varied from 0.8-24.4, 0.4-34.8, 0.5-108 and 0.003-27.6  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  with mean values of 6.71, 6.19, 12.24 and 0.59  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  respectively. Concentration of anions viz.,  $\text{CO}_3^{-2}$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^{-}$ ,  $\text{Cl}^{-}$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{-2}$  varied from 0-1.2, 0.4-18.2, 0.8-77.2 and 0.001-8.39  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  with an average values of 0.04, 6.59, 8.78 and 1.78  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  respectively. The relative abundance of ions for most of the water samples were  $\text{Na}^{+} > \text{Ca}^{+2} > \text{Mg}^{+2} > \text{K}^{+}$  for cations and  $\text{Cl}^{-} > \text{HCO}_3^{-} > \text{SO}_4^{-2} > \text{CO}_3^{-}$  for anions. Based on physico-chemical properties the groundwater is classified into good, marginally saline, saline, high SAR saline, marginally alkali, alkali, high SAR alkali for irrigation. Thematic maps representing spatial variability in groundwater quality in respect to pH, EC, SAR, RSC and irrigation water class were prepared by inverse distance weightage interpolation method using geographical information system

**Key Words:** Kurnool, Ground Water Quality, EC, RSC, SAR, Spatial Variability

## Introduction

Ground water is most important natural resource for agriculture in arid and semiarid regions. Generally, the rates of ground water recharge in semiarid and arid regions are low such that in the absence of alternative resources of water, ground water withdrawals can exceed aquifer recharge and can result in depletion. Quality of irrigation water is an important consideration in any appraisal of salinity or alkali conditions in an irrigated areas and it depends on primarily on the total amount of salt present and proportion of sodium to other cations and certain other parameters (Tiwari 2011). In the areas where availability of surface water is limited throughout the year, farmers are compelled to use poor quality ground water for agricultural purposes this results poor agricultural performance of crops over a period of time due to accumulation of salts at root zone environment. It is evident that reclamation of groundwater techniques can be effectively used by knowing the quality of groundwater and its spatial distribution in any district. The farmers were suggested and incentives were planned accordingly to overcome the problem of salinity in agriculture by various agronomic management practices (Subbaiah et al 2023)

## Material and methods

The study area falls under Deccan plateau hot arid eco-region in Kurnool district, Andhra Pradesh. The Kurnool district lies in between  $15^{\circ} 8' 35.037''$  and  $15^{\circ} 57' 34.284''$  of Northern latitudes and  $76^{\circ} 58' 31.383''$  and  $78^{\circ} 16' 45.383''$  Eastern longitudes occupies southern part of Andhra Pradesh (Fig 1). Kurnool has a total geographical area of 7977  $\text{km}^2$ . The district is bordered by Mahaboobnagar district of Telangana in North, on the east by Prakasam, West by Karnataka and on the South and YSR Kadapa and Anantapur on South. The annual rainfall of the

district is 665 mm through South-West and North-East monsoons. The temperature varies from place to place. Kurnool district of Andhra Pradesh was one among all the districts in Andhra Pradesh using ground water as major source for irrigation. Kurnool district has of 86,379 tube wells and filter points and 26,400 dug wells covering nearly 46.5 percent irrigated area of the district. Total groundwater recharge for district is 120856 ha m. Total utilizable groundwater is 70873 ha m and present irrigation use is 41185 ha m. Groundwater development for district, considering all uses, is 34 percent (CGWB 2019).

Two hundred and eight (208) ground water samples were collected from different sources like bore wells, open wells and hand pumps. Around 5 to 6 samples along with GPS coordinates were randomly collected from each mandal of Kurnool district (Fig.2) in all the mandals of Kurnool district. Sampling was carried out using preconditioned clean high density polythene bottles, which were rinsed three times with sample water prior to sample collection. The dug wells waters were lifted to the ground surface by rope and bucket while tube well waters were pumped to the surface by using hand pump. The pumps were run for 5-6 minutes prior to collection of water samples. Samples were collected in polyethylene bottles and immediately after collection of water samples toluene was added to avoid microbiological deterioration. Standard procedures were followed to analyze the quality of water. pH in water samples was determined by potentiometrically using pH meter ( Jackson 1973). Electrical conductivity was determined by using Conductivity Bridge (Willard et al 1974). Chlorides (Mohr's method), carbonates and bicarbonates (double indicator method) and calcium and magnesium (versenate method) were determined by adopting the procedures given by Richards (1954). Similarly the sodium and potassium in ground water samples were determined by using flame photometer (Richards 1954). Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR), RSC were calculated by using the formulas given by Richards (1954) such as  $SAR = Na / ((Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}) / 2)^{0.5}$  and  $RSC = (CO_3^{2-} + HCO_3^-) - (Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})$ . The  $Na^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  are in  $meq L^{-1}$ . RSC,  $CO_3^{2-}$ ,  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  are in  $meq L^{-1}$ . The RSC, SAR, KR, SSP, PI was computed for irrigation water quality index (IWQI).

**Kelley's ratio:**

Kelley's ratio was used to classify the irrigation water quality (Kelley 1940), which is the level of  $Na^+$  measured against calcium and magnesium. The formula for calculating the Kelley's is as follows

$$KR = \frac{Na^+}{(Ca^{+2} + Mg^{+2})}$$

Where the concentration of ions are in mg/L

**Soluble Sodium Percentage (SSP)**

Sodium concentration in groundwater is a very important parameter in determining the irrigation quality. The formula used for calculating the sodium percentage (Wilcox 1955)

$$\text{Na\%} = (\text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+)/(\text{Ca}^{+2} + \text{Mg}^{+2} + \text{K}^+ + \text{Na}^+) \times 100$$

Where all ionic concentrations are in meq/L.

### Permeability index

Long-term use of irrigation contains  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{+2}$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  ions greatly influence the soil permeability. Doneen 1964 expressed the degree of soil permeability in terms of permeability index (PI).

$$(\text{Na}^+ + \sqrt{\text{HCO}_3^-})$$

$$\text{PI} = \frac{(\text{Na}^+ + \sqrt{\text{HCO}_3^-})}{(\text{Ca}^{+2} + \text{Mg}^{+2} + \text{Na}^+)} \times 100$$

Where all ionic concentrations are in meq/L.

### Statistical analysis and Mapping

Research data were analyzed in SPSS 20.0 using Pearson correlation coefficient matrix to know significant variations between the physicochemical properties. Descriptive statistics were calculated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, WA, USA) spread sheet. Spatial distribution of groundwater quality was depicted in figures using Q-GIS 3.16.10.

## Results and Discussion

### Groundwater quality determination

The groundwater quality is determined by geological environment, climate, soil type, drainage conditions of Kurnool district and it varied from place to place. The composition of dissolved constituents in groundwater determine its quality for irrigation use. The analytical data of ground water samples collected from various mandals of Kurnool district in Andhra Pradesh during 2022 are presented in meq  $\text{L}^{-1}$  and given in the **Table 1**.

### Spatial variability in water reaction (pH):

The groundwater reaction namely acidity, neutrality or alkalinity is determined by its pH. The pH of water samples of Kurnool district varied from 6.5 to 8.4 (**Table 1**) with a mean of 7.23. Gupta et al. (2019) reported that crops do well with the irrigation water pH 6.5-8.4. The neutral to alkaline pH of groundwater is because of archaean crystalline rocks in the district

(CGWB2019). The low pH may be due to presence of forest areas in certain pockets. The spatial variability of pH of groundwater in Kurnool is depicted in **Fig.3**. Indicates that the highest pH (>7.6) in groundwater was in parts of Devanakonda, Prattikonda, Gudur and Kurnool mandals of Kurnool district.

#### **Spatial variability in EC :**

The electrical conductivity of groundwater of Kurnool district ranged from 0.4 to 15.6 dSm<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 2.28 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (**Table 1**). Based on electrical conductivity groundwater is grouped into different classes (Table 2.) viz., Groundwater with <2 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (66.35%), 2-4 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (18.27%), 4-6 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (9.13%), 6-8 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (2.88%), 8-10 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (0.96%) and >10 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (2.40 %). Highest EC (15.6 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) was reported with Mugathi village of Yemmiganur mandal and lowest EC (0.4 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) was reported with Maddikera village of Maddikera mandal. The variation in EC may be due to variation in geological conditions, soil type, drainage, climate of the district (Subbaiah et al 2022).

#### **Spatial variability in SAR:**

The SAR of groundwater of Kurnool district ranged from 0.44-28.78 (m mol l<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> with a mean of 4.67. The lowest SAR of 0.44 (m mol l<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> in water samples was observed in Chippagirivillage and mandal and the maximum value of SAR was found as 28.78 (m mol l<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> in Gudur mandal. The spatial variability of SAR of groundwater in Kurnool district is depicted in **Fig.5** indicated that highest SAR (>10) in groundwater was observed in parts of Gudur and Yemmiganur mandals. Based on SAR values of groundwater (Table 3) most (90.38%) of the samples are having <10 (m mol l<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> in Kurnool district.

#### **Spatial variability in RSC :**

Residual sodium carbonate is an important parameter that has extraordinary influence on the suitability of irrigation water (Pal et al 2018). The residual sodium carbonate (RSC) of groundwater in Kurnool district varied from -49.2 to 13.4 meq L<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of -6.26 meq L<sup>-1</sup>. The highest RSC of 13.4 meq L<sup>-1</sup> in water samples was observed in parts of Gudur mandal. The spatial distribution of residual sodium carbonate was depicted in **Fig.6**. indicates that highest RSC (>2.5 me/L) in groundwater was observed with parts of Gudur mandal of Kurnool district. The pH, EC and SAR of the irrigation water were significantly influenced by RSC. Based on RSC water can be categorized into three categories such as safe (<2.5 meq L<sup>-1</sup>), moderately suitable (2.5-4.0 meq L<sup>-1</sup>) and unsuitable (>4 meq L<sup>-1</sup>). In the present study, it was found that 192 samples (**Table 4**) were of safe category, 5 samples were moderately suitable and 11 samples were unsuitable for irrigation purposes.

#### **Grouping of groundwater quality for irrigation :**

The groundwater of Kurnool district was classified into 7 classes for irrigation purpose (Minhas and Gupta, 1992) and details are presented in **Table 5**. The 62.02% samples were of good quality, 16.83% were of marginally saline, 6.73% of saline, 6.73% high SAR saline, 2.88% of marginally alkali, 1.92% of alkali and 2.88 % of highly alkali. (Fig. 7).

#### **Grouping of Groundwater based on Saturated Sodium percentage:**

The saturated sodium percentage values of groundwater of Kurnool district varied from 11.04-94.89. Based on saturated sodium percentage values (Table 6), the groundwater of Kurnool district 20.19 per cent samples are not suitable for irrigation

#### **Grouping of groundwater based on Kelley's ratio:**

The Kelly's ratio of groundwater samples varied from 0.15-24.61. Based on Kelley's ratio 52.40 samples are safe for irrigation (Table 7).

#### **Grouping of groundwater based on Permeability index:**

The permeability index of groundwater of Kurnool district varied from 17.22 to 111 with mean values 55.05. Based on the permeability index (Table 8) 2.88 per cent groundwater samples are unsuitable for irrigation

#### **Spatial variability in ionic concentration :**

The concentration of cations viz.,  $\text{Ca}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  varied from 0.8-24.4, 0.4-34.8, 0.5-108 and 0.003-27.6  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  with mean values of 6.71, 6.19, 12.24 and 0.59  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  respectively. The relative abundance of cations for most of the water samples are  $\text{Na}^+ > \text{Ca}^{+2} > \text{Mg}^{+2} > \text{K}^+$ . The Concentration of anions viz.,  $\text{CO}_3^{-2}$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{-2}$  varied from 0-1.2, 0.4-18.2, 0.8-77.2 and 0.001-8.39  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  with an average values of 0.04, 6.59, 8.78 and 1.78  $\text{me l}^{-1}$  respectively. The relative abundance of ions for most of the water samples are  $\text{Cl}^- > \text{HCO}_3^- > \text{SO}_4^{-2} > \text{CO}_3^-$ . The chloride and bicarbonate anions are dominant among all the anions then followed by sulphates and carbonates (Table 9). The ionic composition of groundwater is mainly influenced by soil type and rock forming minerals of the geological location.

#### **Conclusions:**

The groundwater quality in Kurnool district differed from place to place. The dominance of major ion was in the order of  $\text{Na}^+ > \text{Ca}^{+2} > \text{Mg}^{+2} > \text{K}^+$  for cations and  $\text{Cl}^- > \text{HCO}_3^- > \text{SO}_4^{-2} > \text{CO}_3^-$  for anions, which indicated the quality of irrigated groundwater is  $\text{Na}^+ - \text{Ca}^{+2} - \text{Cl}^- - \text{HCO}_3^-$  type. The spatial maps of different parameters, prepared using GIS could be valuable for policy makers for initiating groundwater quality monitoring of the area as well as for suggesting management plans for the farmers in selection of suitable crops and other agronomic management practices for getting profitable yields without affecting the soil health.

#### **References**

- CGWB 2019. National Compilation on Dynamic Ground Water Resources of India, 2017. Central Ground Water Board, Department of Water Resources, RD &GR, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Government of India. Faridabad, July 2019, pp 298.
- Doneen LD 1964. Notes on water quality in agriculture. Water Science and Engineering Paper 4001, California, Department of Water Sciences and Engineering, University of California.
- Gupta SK, Sharma PC and Chaudari SK 2019. Hand Book of Saline and alkali soils Diagnosis and reclamation. *Scientific Publishers*. Jodhpur, India. 108-136

Jackson ML1973. Soil Chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt.Ltd. New Delhi, pp.134-182.

Kelley WP 1940. Permissible composition and Concentration of Irrigation waters.In: Proceedings of the ASCE 66,pp607

Minhas PS and Gupta RK 1992. Quality of Irrigation water – Assessment and management. ICAR, New Delhi. pp123.

Pal SK, Rajpaul, Ramprakash, Mohammadamin Bhat and Yadav SS2018. Assessment of groundwater quality for irrigation use in Firozpur-Jhirka Block in Mewat District of Haryana, North India. *Journal of Soil Salinity and Water quality***10(2)**: 157-167

Richards LA 1954. *Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils*. Agricultural Hand Book No.60, USDA, Washington DC, 160.

Subbaiah PV, Radhakrihsna Y and Kaledhonkar MJ2022. Quality assessment of groundwater of Kadapa district, Andhra Pradesh, India for irrigation purpose and management options. *Current Science***122(10)**:1185-1192.

Subbaiah P V, Radhakrihsna Y and Kaledhonkar, MJ2023. Evaluation of Spatial Variability and Irrigation Water Quality of Groundwater in Prakasam District of Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Ecology* **50(5)**:1265-1270.

Tiwari RN 2011. Assessment of ground water quality and pollution potential of Jawa block, Reva district ,Madhya Pradesh , India. *Proceedings of International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences* 1, 202 - 212.

Willard, H.H., Meritt, L.L. and Dean, J.A. (1974). *Instrument Methods of Analysis*.5<sup>th</sup> edition, D Van Nastrand company, New York.

Wilcox 1955. Classification and Use of irrigation waters. USDA, Circular 969, Washington DC.

Table 1 .Descriptive statistics for water quality parameters in Kurnool district

S.NO.	Parameter	Range	Mean	Standard deviation	Standard error
1	pH	6.5-8.4	7.23	0.32	0.02
2	EC(dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.4-15.6	2.28	2.29	0.15
3	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0-1.2	0.04	0.17	0.01
4	HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.4-18.2	6.59	2.80	0.19
5	Cl <sup>-</sup> (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.8-77.2	8.78	10.40	0.14
6	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.001-8.39	1.78	2.10	0.14
7	Ca <sup>2+</sup> (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.8-24.4	6.71	4.51	0.31
8	Mg <sup>2+</sup> (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.4-34.8	6.19	5.21	0.36
9	Na <sup>+</sup> (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.5-108	12.24	15.99	1.10

10	$K^+$ (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.003-27.6	0.59	2.41	0.16
11	RSC(me L <sup>-1</sup> )	-49.2 to13.4	-6.26	8.89	0.61
12	SAR	0.44-28.77	4.67	4.74	0.32
13	KR	0.15-24.61	1.51	2.13	0.14
14	SSP	11.04-94.89	42.84	18.76	1.30
15	PI	17.22-111	55.05	18.21	1.26

Table 2: Grouping of groundwater based on EC (dSm<sup>-1</sup>)

S.No.	EC(dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	No.of samples	Per cent of samples
1	0-2	138	66.35
2	2-4	38	18.27
3	4-6	19	9.13
4	6-8	6	2.88
5	8-10	2	0.96
6	>10	5	2.40

Table3: Grouping of groundwater based on SAR

S.No.	SAR	No. of samples	Per cent of samples
1	<10	188	90.38
2	10-18	14	6.73
3	18-26	4	1.92
4	>26	2	0.96

Table 4: Grouping of groundwater based on RSC (meL<sup>-1</sup>)

S.No.	RSC (meL <sup>-1</sup> )		No. of samples	Per cent of samples
	Class	Value		
1	None	<2.5	192	92.31
2	Slight to moderate	2.5-4	5	2.40
3	Severe	>4	11	5.29

Table 5. Grouping of groundwater of Kurnool district for irrigation (Minhas and Gupta, 1992)

Rating	Class	EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	SAR	RSC (me L <sup>-1</sup> )	number of samples	Per cent Samples
A.Good	A	<2	<10	<2.5	129	62.02
B. Saline						
Marginally saline	B1	2-4	<10	<2.5	35	16.83
Saline	B2	>4	<10	<2.5	14	6.73
High SAR Saline	B3	>4	>10	<2.5	14	6.73
C. Alkali Water						
Marginally alkali	C1	<4	<10	2.5-4.0	6	2.88
Alkali	C2	<4	<10	>4.0	4	1.92
High SAR alkali	C3	variable	>10	>4.0	6	2.88

Table 6. Grouping of groundwater based on %Na values (Wilcox 1955)

%Na (after Wilcox 1955)	Classification	Total no.of samples	percentage
<20	Excellent	23	11.06
20-40	Good	77	37.02
40-60	Permissible	66	31.73
60-80	Doubtful	37	17.79
>80	Unsuitable	5	2.40

Table 7. Grouping of groundwater based on Kelly's ratio (Kelly,1940)

Kelly's Ratio	Suitability	Sample	
		numbers	Per cent
<1.0	Good	109	52.40
>1.0	Not good	99	47.60

Table 8. Grouping of groundwater based on permeability index (PI) for irrigation (Doneen,1964)

Classification of PI	Permeability	Suitability	Sample	
			number	Per cent
I	>75	Suitable	35	16.83
II	25-75	Marginal	167	80.29

Table 9. Dominance of ions in groundwater of Kurnool district

S.No.	Name of the mandal	Type of rocks and minerals	Soil type	Groundwater type
1	Kurnool	Lime stone	black (loam)	Na-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
2	Kallur	Limestone	black (loam)	Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>
3	Orvakal	Silica sand, Lime stone	red, black (loam)	Na-Ca-HCO <sub>3</sub>
4	Gudur	Lime stone	black (loam)	Na-Cl
5	C Belagal	Lime stone	black (loam)	Na-Cl
6	Kodumur	quartz	red, black (loam)	Na-Cl
7	Veldurthi	Steatite, Ochres	black, (loam) red	Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>
8	Krishnagiri	Granite	red	Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>
9	Gonegandla	Granite	red soil	Ca-Na-Cl
10	Yemmiganur	Granite	red soil	Na-Ca-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
11	nandavaram	Granite	black, red	Na-Cl
12	Mantralayam	Granite	loam, red	Na-Ca-HCO <sub>3</sub> -Cl
13	Halaharvi	Granite	black, red	Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>
14	Kosigi	Granite	red soil, balck	Na-Ca-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
15	Kauthalam	Granite	red soil, black	Ca-Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>
16	Adoni	Granites	blk, red	Na-Ca-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
17	Alur	Quartz	black, red	Na-Ca-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
18	Holagunda	Granite	black, red	Ca-Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>
20	Peddakadubur	Granite	red	Ca-HCO <sub>3</sub>
21	Aspari	Granite	black, red	Na-Cl
22	Chippagiri	Quartz	black soil	Ca-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
23	Maddikera	Quartz	red soil, black (loam)	Na-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
24	Tuggali	Granite	red soil	Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>
25	Pattikonda	gneissic terrain, Granite	red, black(loam)	Na-Ca-Cl-HCO <sub>3</sub>
26	Devanakonda	gneissic terrain, quartz	red, black(loam)	Ca-Na-HCO <sub>3</sub>




Fig 2. Groundwater sampling points in Kurmool district




Fig 3. Spatial variability in pH of groundwater




Fig 4. Spatial variability in EC (ds/m) of groundwater




Fig 5. Spatial variability in SAR of groundwater




Fig 6. Spatial variability in RSC (meq/L) of groundwater




Fig 7. Spatial variability in groundwater quality