

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112803
Title of the Manuscript:	Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria for Sustainable Production of Sugarcane and Rice
Type of the Article	Review Article

RT 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I thank the editor for the opportunity to review this research and the authors for the work done. The research is relevant because it not only includes basic crops in the population's diet, but also because it proposes to analyze how to increase production while maintaining not only the quality of the soil but also taking into account sustainability issues. However, the Abstract ends with a phrase inducing "brief idea" that should be replaced by another expression in order to show the relevance of the study and not just a general, superficial assessment of it.</p> <p>The work is a review of the literature on the basis of investing in soil defense and sustainability. As a complement, the authors could have carried out a deeper review of the literature using programs like CiteSpace, Vosviewer, Python, among others. In any case, the work is worth having references that support the objective of the research.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Helena de Aguiar Pereira e Pestana
Department, University & Country	ISCTE, Lisbon University Portugal, Portugal