

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112596</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Biofertilizers and Nutrients on Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i>): A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The importance of studying the effect of biofertilizers and nutrients on mustard lies in its potential to improve crop productivity, reduce reliance on chemical fertilizers, and promote sustainable agricultural practices. By understanding the interactions between biofertilizers, nutrients, and mustard plants, researchers and farmers can work towards more efficient and environmentally friendly agricultural systems.</p> <p>Article title was suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive as it provides a summary of the main aspects covered in the study, the review explores the impact of biofertilizers and nutrients on mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i>) cultivation.</p> <p>Structure and subsections were good.</p> <p>This manuscript has all the advantages of a review article and it is scientifically important.</p> <p>Manuscript has sufficient references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The written language of the manuscript was understandable for the readers.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>See the attachment</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdul Hallim Majidi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Badakhshan University, Afghanistan</b>