

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111952
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Sustainable resource management for climate-smart IFS model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, it has some level of contribution. It has been trying to integrate different components and benefit-cost ratios. But it needs further revision. The flow is not to the standard. The title and the content of the manuscript do not go hand in hand. I suggest that the title should be better like 'evaluation of different farm management practice and its potential for application at smallholder level' The abstract is not comprehensive and needs revision. The subsections are not coherent. Some are not in the rationale and objectives of the study like employment generation. In the materials and methods, part candidate components are indicated. But, in the result part, suddenly crop component + dairy + poultry and fisheries are included. The reference is so small in number. Even those shown in the body of the manuscript are not in the reference. The manuscript needs a significant revision and structural change. It needs to include a conclusion and recommendation as well. The references are so small in number even those in the body not included in the reference part. Additionally, to see the importance of integration of components, the yield of individual components under conventional farming should be included. The manuscript should also answer the possibility of applications of the results by smallholder, lad deficient farmers. Comparison of the different components Table 2. Says 1 acre land but the area is different for all components. So not clear. There is no table four. Therefore, change table 5 to table 4. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs a lot of editorial adjustment. The manuscript needs coherence but it has still some importance.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The idea behind studying this research was good but difficult to capture the objectives. But it can be improved and made a very good paper as long as raw data are present.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tekeba Eshetie Nega
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia