

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_113028
Title of the Manuscript:	Serous Cystadenoma at Tail of Pancreas – A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A few comments have been mentioned in track changes. In general, the entire manuscript needs same font and justified alignment. A few technical comments are as follows:</p> <p>1. How can we measure dimensions of mass this accurate on physical examination? may be with USG/ CT Scan one may. Frankly speaking, if AUTHORS have not taken dimensions physically, then it is probably better avoid such mention here as THEY have given same exact data after CT Scan in next sentence.</p> <p>2. Based on CT measurement also, the figures given within Computed tomograph are 3.8 x 4.4 cm, which can be mentioned. grossly, one may arrive at exact dimation post-op, an observation that is consistant with the fact that CT estimated dimensions may be under or overestimated due to shadow and magnification effect of X-rays owing to three dimensional property of organs.</p> <p>3. Technically speaking, whether AUTHORS have performed any special staining method for endocrine cells or any IHC method to rule out the presence of endocrine cells of any kind? because as I was observing the histological photomicrograph, I could see a few cell groups which are not part of serous end pieces and are present in interstitium separating other well defined end pieces as mentioned. It is here that cell nucleus and cytoplasm shows slight variability in staining characteristic, suggestive of a non serous nature. It is requested to take a expert opinion and perfrm some IHC or some special stain to rule out endocrine cells ifpossible, for betterment of the patient in question. although it has been mentioned that patient have had uneventful decade, it will give two forked answer...either there were no endocrine cells and no need for any further medical intervention or even if there were such cells, after the removal of mass they may(?) be obsolete.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patki Harshad Sudhir
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India