

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_111712
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON THE HEALTH AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF MAWASI TRIBAL WOMEN IN VILLAGES OF CHITRAKOOT REGION IN MADHYA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>The title of the article “ A study on the health and nutrional status of mawasi tribal women in villages of chitrakoot region in madhya pradesh” is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract has been presented concisely and informatively. The length consists of 267 words. In this abstract we have briefly explained the aim of the work, the techniques and methods used, the main findings with important data and conclusions. Key words should reflect the main concepts discussed in the article.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Research Papers and Short Notes is follow the structure of Abstract, Introduction, materalial and methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusion and references. Some structures are not yet included in the manuscript. glish with a simple layout. The text should be prepared in single column format. The text, excluding the abstract, if required, can be divided into numbered sections with brief headings. Starting from the introduction with section 1, subsections should be numbered (for example 2.1 (then 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2, etc.), up to three levels. Subsections in the contents of the manuscript presented should contain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction The need for study and objectives are made into an integral part of the introduction. The research objectives are included in the introduction and presented in a narrative, not in points. • Materials and methods research design has not been stated in the abstract. add research design. • Results & Discussion Results should be clearly described in a concise manner. Results for different parameters should be described under subheadings or in separate paragraph. • Tables and figures • Conclusions • Acknowledgments • Competing Interests • Authors' Contributions • Consent • Ethical Approval • References <p>Yes</p> <p>Some of reference is outdated. Please replace by using reference(s) that published within 2013-2023. Within the text, cite listed references, by their list number in square brackets (e.g., [1, 4, 10]), not by author name/year.In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Quite well written smooth flowing in certain areas and in certain parts there are some problems with English and grammar.2. Some paragraph should consist of at least 2 sentences. Need to revised3. The results discussed must be adjusted to the variables studied. There is something confusing here. There are different variables displayed in the abstract (key words), material and method, results and discussion.4. There needs to be uniformity and synchronization in the use of variables used in research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yani Maidelwita
Department, University & Country	STIKes MERCUBAKTIJAYA, Indonesia