Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Food Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_112480**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Households Food Consumption of in the city of Ati (Chad)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. This manuscript is important for scientific community. But it has place of improvement. 2. I think you can reframe your title e.g. Examining Patterns and Trends of Household Food Consumption in the city of Ati (Chad). 3. Abstract of this manuscript is comprehensive. However, it has place to improvement. I think it is better to write structural abstract (Aims, study design, place and duration of the study, methodology and conclusion). 4. Subsections of the manuscript is appropriate however, if possible it is better to describe discussion part separately. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. However, it is better to use others software for data analysis SPSS, JASP, Stata and conduct statistical test. **6.** Yes, the references that includes in the manuscripts are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	1. The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication however; it would be better to reedit English language in this manuscripts.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bhagawoti Sharma**
Department, University & Country	**Tribhuvan University, Nepal**