

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_111469</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Examining the Economic Benefits of Smallholder Pepper Production in the Kumbungu District of Northern Ghana</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, as it will be beneficial for other researchers want to work in the area of Pepper production.</p> <p>2. Yes, but “Unveiling the Economic Potential: Smallholder Pepper Farming in Northern Ghana's Kumbungu District” is suggested by reviewer</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. 6.1 References are not sufficient. A lot of work has been done by the researchers in this field, so some recent references must be added by the authors.</p> <p>6.2 The references are very old, add some new references.</p> <p>6.3 The references are not properly formatted as in-text citations, there are variations.</p> <p>7. Make separate sections for Conclusion and Recommendation, keep Conclusion section last before the Reference section.</p> <p>8. Use better keywords</p> <p>9. Improve formatting of all the tables and figures again.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The language needs to be improved to some extent.</p> <p>2. Few grammatical errors were found in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Nil.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashish Ashok Uikey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Symbiosis International Deemed University, India</b>