

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110487
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON EFFICACY OF PYRACLOSTROBIN 10% + THIFLUZAMIDE 10% SC AGAINST BLAST AND SHEATH BLIGHT DISEASES OF PADDY CROP
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community as it contributes to the body of knowledge in regards management of disease of paddy rice</p> <p>THE EFFICACY OF PYRACLOSTROBIN 10% + THIFLUZAMIDE 10% SC AGAINST BLAST AND SHEATH BLIGHT DISEASES OF PADDY CROP</p> <p>Yes the abstract of the article is comprehensive, however it is silent on the objective of the study and research design. These should be incorporated.</p> <p>Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes in my opinion the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes the references sufficient and recent. However the first reference should be modified to identify the name of the author rather than using anonymous</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes in my view the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally the article is suitable for publication with minor corrections.</p> <p>The minor corrections have been discussed using track changes to the main article</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Kameri Ochoko
Department, University & Country	Kampala International University, Uganda