

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_110487</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STUDY ON EFFICACY OF PYRACLOSTROBIN 10% + THIFLUZAMIDE 10% SC AGAINST BLAST AND SHEATH BLIGHT DISEASES OF PADDY CROP</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Indeed, the article is significant and will advance scientific understanding.</p> <p>Yes, the title is appropriate for the novel, in my opinion.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract was prepared in a thorough and reader-friendly manner.</p> <p>Yes, each section and subheading appropriately covered the topic matter.</p> <p>The research's conclusions, in my opinion, may be accepted.</p> <p>Although there are a number of recent references, more references are required in order to improve the paper.</p> <p>1. Title: Well coined. 2. The abstract provides a clear explanation of the manuscript's content. But it must adhere to the journal's guide for number of wordings in abstract. 3. The materials and methodology were properly established. 4. All tables should be appropriately labelled and numbered i.e Table 1 instead of List 1, this should be adhered in subsequent tables. 5. References: Based on what I can see, there are only 28 references. Additional references and latest citation will increase the intellectual value of this findings. 6. Conclusion is acceptable. 7. Please proofread your work carefully for errors in spelling, missing letters in words, punctuation, and other issues that may affect the manuscript's comprehension.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is appropriate for academic writing, yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In general, this document provides an explanation and description of the subject matter based on experimental evidence. Additionally, I think it should be published and assign it a "Minor" correction classification.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bilal Ibrahim Dan-lya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia</b>