

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_110973
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON SERUM T3, T4, TSH, PROGESTERONE, AND OESTROGEN IN CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes; Cardiovascular diseases are one of the leading non-communicable diseases and thyroid hormones & sex hormones are closely associated with metabolism and lifestyle disorders; this kind of research becomes important milestones and reference for further development of pathogenesis, treatment protocol, and prevention etc. - Yes; Other suggestion: Studies on serum thyroid hormones(T3, T4, TSH), female sex hormones(progesterone, and oestrogen) in cardiovascular disease patients - Yes - Yes; However, bullet points and small paragraphs over big paragraphs suggested. - Yes - Yes; enough required references have been coated as annexures to research, additionally a couple of recent(past 5 years) published articles can also be considered for more comparative validity. - The article is well constructed and all required pieces of information provided. However relevant tables and graphs(eg: demographic data, results etc); pictures(eg: sample collection, illustrative reports etc) may be considered for more value addition. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes. - Additionally, and optionally, Google grammar or any other relevant help can be considered for more deep neatness of scientific language. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overall the research and article are good and adding information. Further an extensive study can be considered with more sample size, multicentric study etc. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babitha Rao A
Department, University & Country	India