

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_108730
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytorehzial technique for phytoremediation of heavy metals using ornamentals plants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Due to soil pollution phytoremediation is an excellent strategy to mitigate this issue. For this reason, I consider that this manuscript is an important tool for scientific community. Phytorehzial? I not sure what does mean Yes, it is The author must remove the subsection (objectives) and write a paragraph in the last part of the introduction describing the objectives of the investigation Yes, it is Yes, the references are sufficient and recent <p>The author must check over the first word of the title because it is confusing. The objectives of the manuscript must be at the end of the introduction in a describing paragraph. The conclusions must be more specific according to the results and future recommendations.</p> <p>The author must talk about the species that use in the process of phytoremediation in the hole manuscript NOT only the gender. The author must be more specific, due, it is confusing for the scientific community who want to use those plants for their own investigations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, it is	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should check over the words Copper and arsenic because he/she uses lower case in arsenic and capital letter in copper. I consider that the author must use lower case for both or capital letter for both</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johana Andrea Velásquez Arias
Department, University & Country	Universida Nacional Abierta Y A Distancia Unad, Colombia