

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	CurrentJournalofAppliedScienceandTechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_CJAST_110042
Titleof theManuscript:	QuantificationandcharacterizationofsolidwasteatLeadCityUniversity,Ibadan,Nigeria
Typeof the Article	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment (ifagreedwithreviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
<p>CompulsoryREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Isthemanuscriptimportant forscientific community? (Please writefewsentenceson this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternative title)</p> <p>3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Doyou thinkthemanuscriptisscientificallycorrect?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficientandrecent?If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,please mentioninthe reviewform.</p> <p><u>(Apartfromabove mentioned6 points, reviewersare freetoprovide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><i>a bitimportant becauseit's too general, andthe contents of this draft need to be summarized as brieflyas possible</i></p> <p><i>Okay (thetitlehas represented)</i></p> <p><i>Needs improvement becausetherearerepeatedsentences</i></p> <p><i>It needs to berevise becauseintheintroductiontherearemanysentences that are not importantinthedraft. Thewritingtechnique does not yet follow journal standards. Sorry, this draft lookslikea thesis</i></p> <p><i>Okay, itis classified as scientificbecauseit has beenprovenbyseveral methods and the useof software</i></p> <p><i>Thereferences used aregood but need to be added to improvethequalityof thepaper. Apart fromthat,usesoftwarefor citationssuchasMendeley, Zotero, etc followthejournal template so that this draft looks morescientific</i></p>	
<p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Islanguage/Englishqualityofthe articlesuitableforscholarly communications?</p>	<p><i>Good, Everyonehas different Englishlanguageskills, so improving thequality of writingis best left to expertssuchas proofreader</i></p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p><i>This paper isinterestingif theauthor iswilling toimproveit, especially inthe technicalities ofwritinginjournals, andlook atsomeof the comments contained inyour draft.</i></p>	

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agus Rino
Department, University & Country	Universitas PGRI Sumatera Barat, Indonesia