

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_108410
Title of the Manuscript:	CRITIQUE OF DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF 4-FACTOR MATHEMATICS ANXIETY SCALE AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDNETS IN IBADAN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Paper

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Indeed. The discussion is relevant to the community's related-issue.</p> <p>Suitable. However, the author should include/mention the discussion on the '4-factor mathematics anxiety scale' in the text too. This term is only mentioned two times, 1 in the title, and another 1 is in the abstract. To help reader to relate the discussion with the title. The author should mention about the terms in the texts too.</p> <p>The abstract explains the purpose of the article review/critique but it is insufficient. Please state the statement problem on the issue. Besides, the methods used to critique is lacking. The author may also include more introduction on the importance of discussing the issue.</p> <p>Discussion of issue is relevant. However, the author should add subsection to discuss on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Methodology used to review the issue</li> <li>ii) The 4-factors mathematics anxiety scale</li> </ul> <p>Not sure because the methodology is not presented.</p> <p>Out of 20 references, 10 references are within 5 years back. However, please check the APA style used because some of the references were wrongly arranged.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English and language used is good and sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nur Zainie Abd Hamid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>