

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_112626
Title of the Manuscript:	Post-abortion care (PAC) at the University Teaching Hospital of Bogodogo (UTH) in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes; it contributes essential public issues specially the best indication of maternal health services provision.</p> <p>Yes; this area is researchable and can address significant gaps those should be resolved to improve health of child bearing age women.</p> <p>Of course it was missed to state the background of study title as highlight of main document of the study.</p> <p>Yes; some extent but it has limited narrated background of study and the constructed methods and materials in the study compared to result reported part.</p> <p>Yes; but it needs highlight revised again.</p> <p>Yes; considered highlight revision.</p> <p>Yes; expect some of references were late specially, ref.NO 7, 13 and 19 and need replace with recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The area is researchable but the methods parts of the manuscript were not address what were planned to be details narrated those need to be stated with subtopic such as: sampling technique and sample size determination and statistical analysis that stated how to display frequency and consequence of outcome variable in the study. In addition, the discussion was not strength constructed and no justification details for similarity and discrepancy of the findings with others several studies. So, the author is advised to make some revisions so that the paper will be better and also load scientifically sound for reader and cited. It is also better makes that paragraph set independently rather than set with sub topic.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girma Worku
Department, University & Country	Arsi University College of Health Science, Ethiopia