

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_113171 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Effectiveness of Local Potential-Based Learning Modules on Creative and Entrepreneurial Project Learning |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Manuscript is important for entrepreneurship educators at base level and society at large. This manuscript has studied on pre-test and pst-test of students based on entrepreneurship education given to them, which is an impetus to understand the role of Entrepreneurship education</p> <p>Title can be very straight, rather than using words, local-potential is not much needed</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive enough to cover objectives, need of study and findings</p> <p>Structure is good, except in Introduction, where the flow should be Introducing Entrepreneurship concept than Entrepreneurship education, but it is other- way, is not giving a structured feeling</p> <p>Manuscript Scientifically correct</p> <p>References are good enough</p> <p>Manuscript is very brief covering only 4 pages, but make sense</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Language is good | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | N. Suman Kumar |
| Department, University & Country | School of Business, SR University, India |