Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_112699**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Digital Literacy and Preservice Teachers Post Covid-19**
Type of the Article	**Opinion Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1.** The manuscript addresses a crucial issue regarding the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on educational institutions and the necessity of sustaining digital technology infrastructure for future disruptions. The topic holds significance for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders in the education sector globally, making it important for the scientific community. **2.** The title effectively captures the essence of the article by highlighting its focus on the challenges faced by educational institutions post-Covid-19. However, it could be enhanced for clarity and specificity. **3.** The abstract provides key points, including the impact of Covid-19 on education, the role of digital technologies, and the importance of sustaining investments in these technologies. However, the abstract should include the specific findings or recommendations from the study. **4.** subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate; the author could enhance by providing specific themes or arguments. **5.** The manuscript presents a coherent argument regarding the necessity of digital literacy for preservice teachers in adapting to remote teaching modes post-Covid-19. The claims made regarding the challenges faced by teachers and the importance of technology competencies are supported by references to relevant research studies and literature. 6.more than 70% of the reference are recent. To improve it the author can think about to include the more recent reference that specifically focus on the the long-term implication of the pandemic on the digital technology adoption in education and teachers' training.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The English language of the article is generally suitable for the scholarly communication; however the proofreading is required.	
Optional/General comments	While the manuscript addresses an important topic and presents a comprehensive discussion, there are areas for improvement identified, including the need for further clarity in the title , as well as the incorporation of more recent references to strengthen the scholarly foundation. With minor revisions addressing these aspects, the manuscript has the potential to be suitable for publication.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Suo Yan Ju**
Department, University & Country	**Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Malaysia**