

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113041
Title of the Manuscript:	GENDER INTRA-HOUSEHOLD DYNAMICS COMPARISON OF PADDY PROFITABILITY DUE TO THE ADOPTION OF IMPROVED AGRICULTURE TECHNOLOGY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The manuscript is significantly important for the scientific community. It offers valuable insights into the gender dynamics within households in Tanzanian paddy production, particularly in relation to the adoption of improved agricultural technology. The study's focus on profitability differences between male and female paddy producers in the context of intra-household dynamics makes it a noteworthy contribution to agricultural economics and gender studies.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title aptly reflects the manuscript's content and focus. It is precise, informative, and encapsulates the study's key themes - gender dynamics, household economics, and paddy profitability. Therefore, I do not suggest any alternative title.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract concisely presents the study's purpose, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. It gives a clear overview of the study, highlighting the significant gender differences in profitability and the need for technology adoption and female empowerment in agriculture.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure, including subsections, is well-organized and appropriate. It follows a logical flow from the introduction, literature review, methodology, results and discussion, to policy implications and conclusion, facilitating clear understanding.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The manuscript appears scientifically correct. It uses a robust methodology, including a two-sample t-test, and is based on data from a significant sample size. The findings are presented with appropriate statistical evidence.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The manuscript includes a range of references, both recent and relevant to the topic. The cited works span various years, indicating a comprehensive review of existing literature. Additional references could potentially be suggested after a more in-depth analysis of the literature in this specific field.	
<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	After a thorough review of the manuscript, it can be suggested that the study could be enriched by incorporating a comparative analysis with similar studies in different geographical or cultural contexts, providing a broader perspective on gender dynamics in agricultural productivity. Additionally, the manuscript could delve deeper into the socio-economic factors influencing the adoption of improved agricultural technologies by female farmers, offering a more nuanced understanding of the barriers they face.	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the article is appropriate for scholarly communication. It is clear, formal, and well-suited to an academic audience.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well-structured and presents its findings clearly. However, it may benefit from a more detailed discussion of the practical implications of its findings, particularly in terms of policy recommendations aimed at reducing gender disparities in agricultural productivity. This could significantly enhance the manuscript's relevance and applicability to real-world challenges in agricultural development and gender equality.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aqsa Tahir
Department, University & Country	The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan