

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_112798
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental Management Accounting's Role in Economic Development through Product and Process Innovation in Companies
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. the manuscript provides a substantial contribution to the scientific community by addressing contemporary challenges at the intersection of environmental responsibility and economic progress.</p> <p>2. The title you've provided is informative and conveys the main focus of the article. However, it is quite long and could potentially be streamlined for clarity and conciseness. Here's a suggestion: "Environmental Management Accounting: Driving Economic Development through Innovation in Companies."</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a good overview of the key aspects of the research, but it could benefit from some minor adjustments for clarity and conciseness.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Enhance the reference list.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and overall English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are a few areas where improvements can be made for clarity and precision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some item descriptions in the research variables table could be more specific to avoid ambiguity. The sample size of 150 respondents may be considered small, potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings. The limitations section could be expanded to address potential biases, alternative explanations for the findings, and areas for further research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashikul Hoque
Department, University & Country	Putra Business School, UPM , Malaysia