

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_112578
Title of the Manuscript:	GCMS Analysis and In-vivo Antinociceptive, Antidiarrheal Effects of Persicaria hydropiper
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It could be important for reference of plant which has antidiarrhoeal and analgesic properties.</p> <p>It could have a more suitable title according to the result.</p> <p>The word impact in the abstract does not satisfy me. I did not see any statistical method applied like one way anova and it must if it says the data are statistically significant.</p> <p>There is formula missing in % inhibition of defecation and % of inhibition. How stock solution is prepared for the oral dosage form is also missing. If the author provides statistical data and how the formula is applied to calculate the % inhibition and proves that the values of control and test group statistically significant different then it can be regarded as scientifically correct. There must be suitable reference for the formula used to calculate the values.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There is insufficient information in the table like what does the value \pm..... signifies.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is no conclusion that which component in the extract is responsible for the antidiarrhoeal activity and which one is responsible for painkiller.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratik Khanal
Department, University & Country	Pokhara University, Nepal