

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_112578
Title of the Manuscript:	GCMS Analysis and In-vivo Antinociceptive, Antidiarrheal Effects of Persicaria hydropiper
Type of the Article	Research article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. In the manuscript is presented an original research about the antinoceptive and antidiarrheal effect of a methanolic extract of <i>Persicaria Hydropiper</i>. It is of interest to the scientific community because it provides a detailed analysis of the identified compounds and their activity. Also, the study's findings indicate the antinociceptive and antidiarrheal properties of the extract, thus contributing to the development of new products used in therapy.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is accordingly with the requirements.</p> <p>5. Yes, from my point of view, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I made all the comments directly on the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alexandru Diana Mihaela</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania</b>