

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112996
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE BIOCONVERSION EFFICIENCY OF BLACK SOLDIER FLY LARVAE WITH VEGETABLE, FRUIT AND FOOD WASTE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript he manuscript has relevant scientific importance when addressing alternatives to the use of waste and circular economy.</p> <p>2. Suggested title with the greatest impact: THE EFFICIENCY OF BLACK SOLDIER FLY LARVAE WITH VEGETABLE, FRUIT AND FOOD WASTE AS biological tool for sustainably managing organic waste and feed production</p> <p>3. There is a lack of greater coverage of the results in the abstract</p> <p>4. There is a need to structure the text... illustrative figures, tables with results must be presented before the explanatory texts.</p> <p>5. Theme with scientific relevance but weak approach...needs to search for results and discussions, and requires statistical treatment.</p> <p>6. Presents few current references, mainly in the discussion of the results</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. average English</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciene Gachet Ferrari Domingues
Department, University & Country	School of Technology, Campinas State University, Brazil