

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112936
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Genetic parameters for yield and its Attributing traits in Greengram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. 4. In introduction only two references had been cited. It is mandatory cite the reference of each information that appears in introduction. It is also important that the references about the crop importance and situation are recent. The references cited are more than five years old.</p> <p>The results cite references related to the results found in this study but does not discuss the relationship between them.</p> <p>In section references, there are incomplete references: the year is not cited.</p> <p>Hanson GH, Robinson HF and Comstock RE. Biometrical studies on yield in segregating population. Agron. J. 1956;268-272.</p> <p>Johnson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock HS. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 1955;47:314-318.</p> <p>5. Yes, but some corrections are needed.</p> <p>6. There are few references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esther Maria Ferreira Lucas
Department, University & Country	Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais, Brazil