

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRS_112744</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Lower eyelid reconstruction using the Tenzel technique in a case of eyelid BCC.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, this manuscript is important to generate the case series which will be helpful in the future.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the title of this case report is suitable.</b></p> <p><b>No, the abstract of this case report can be modified and have some more appropriate information.</b> <b>No, there are some sections are remaining to attend which I mention in the comments.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>No, all the references are too old, if possible, the author can use some recent references.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>No, some of the sentences and words needs more clarifications and appropriate to enhance reader easy understanding and satisfactory explanations.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Use the full form of BCC in the title.</li> <li>2. The title page should contain a brief title, name(s) of the author(s), and their affiliations. The name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) along with full postal address and e-mail address.</li> <li>3. The abstract should include the following sections; Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion, and Conclusion.</li> <li>4. Keep the text in Arial 11 font.</li> <li>5. Justify the text to evenly distribute the text between the margins.</li> <li>6. A brief acknowledgment section may be given after the conclusion section just before the references.</li> <li>7. Add Competing Interests, and Authors' Contributions as per journal guidelines.</li> <li>8. Use Arial 11 font for the reference list to maintain uniformity in the case report.</li> <li>9. References are too old, if possible, use recent references.</li> <li>10. The accessed date of the Web sources or electronic article as a reference should be mentioned.</li> <li>11. A permission letter from the hospital/institute for publishing the case report should be obtained and submitted to the journal.</li> <li>12. A patient consent letter should be obtained and submitted to the journal.</li> <li>13. CARE guidelines should be followed for the case report presentation to support an increase in the accuracy, transparency, and usefulness of the case reports.</li> <li>14. The flow of the entire report is not uniform and does not give a satisfactory explanation.</li> <li>15. The case presentation section should have detailed information about the entire case including its process and not only about the technique used.</li> <li>16. The discussion should include the rationale for choosing this technique over other alternatives in the presented case and not a general overview of tenzel technique.</li> <li>17. The conclusion should include points like if the rationale for choosing this technique was served, overall benefit in cost or other advantages that was achieved through the use of</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	this treatment and what all disadvantages or limitations were observed.	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gadhwal Khayati Hasmukhbhai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Anand Pharmacy College, India</b>