

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_112623
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors associated with preterm birth at the University Teaching Hospital of Bogodogo (UTH-B) in Ouagadougou from January to June 2021.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The title is good</p> <p>The abstract requires revision to enhance its coherence and readability. The current language is unclear, making it challenging for readers to follow comfortably. When introducing abbreviations in the text and abstract (ANC), it is essential to initially provide the complete word. Consider incorporating more relevant keywords, such as MeSH terms, to optimize your manuscript. Use 'preterm birth' or 'premature' instead of 'preterm delivery,' and opt for 'risk factors' instead of 'risk-associated.'</p> <p>Sections and subsections are appropriate.</p> <p>In the third line of the introduction section, you mentioned that despite prevention policies, the scale of the problem remains high. However, you did not specify any of these policies. When discussing adopted policies, it is crucial to mention some of them for clarity. In line 8 of the introduction, stating 'our country' is not academically precise; instead, specify the name of your country. If you reference France, consider discussing policies in other countries as well to provide a more comprehensive overview. In the Materials and Methods section, it is imperative to provide a valid reference for the definition of gestational age. Your methodology is inadequate as it lacks an explicit explanation of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Additionally, in the case of a case-control study, there is no mention of the method employed for randomization. I appreciate your efforts in creating Tables I and II; however, more detailed explanations are needed for each table to clarify the information provided for the readers.</p> <p>It is advisable to utilize current references for statistics; references 5 and 7 are outdated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Kindly review the document for any typographical errors and ensure proper spacing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Houriyeh Ehtemam
Department, University & Country	School of Engineering and the Built Environment, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom