

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_113050
Title of the Manuscript:	ELECTRONIC BILLING SYSTEM'S IMPACT ON REVENUE COLLECTION IN THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT OF NANDI IN KENYA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Basically, the theme of the research that has been carried out is important for the scientific community, because the results of this research will provide good information regarding what alternative ways to carry out billing more effectively in the future.</p> <p>This title should be more specific, namely mentioning the electronic billing system used</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive and contains the main points related to research objectives, methods and research results</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but there are several parts where the writing is not neat and needs to be corrected</p> <p>Scientifically, this manuscript contains ideas or research results based on expert theory and previous research, but the theory or research results discussed in this research are still lacking. There is no visible novelty in the results of this research</p> <p>References that are more updated and taken from reputable international journals still need to be added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of articles in English is not very suitable for scientific communication and requires proofreading</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agung Edi Rustanto
Department, University & Country	Esa Unggul University, Indonesia