

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_112701
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATING THE ANTIBACTERIAL PROPERTIES OF NEEM PLANT IN THE CONTEXT OF DENTAL CARIES
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The topic discussed in the manuscript is quite interesting and could brought novel information and perspective on application of traditional herb plant in medical view.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is</p> <p>3. There are some incompatibilities between the aim, results and conclusion in the abstract section. I put some comments on it. Please check.</p> <p>4. There are some comments for the arrangement on structure of the article, especially for the method section and the result section. Please check.</p> <p>5. Yes, I do.</p> <p>6. The latest references should be maximum for the last 5 years. Please check.</p> <p>7. For the discussion section First paragraph - A summary of what the study added to the literature Second paragraph – Compare and contrast the result of this study with previous research and literature. Third paragraph – Why did you find the results you found and why didn't you find any other expected results? Fourth paragraph – Implications for practice. Fifth paragraph – Implications for research and further research questions. Sixth paragraph – It should contain reflective criticism or limitations on your research</p> <p>8. The conclusion should be mentioned the result of study which also relevant to the objectives.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I have put some comments and suggestions on few parts of the article, please check.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sri Rezki
Department, University & Country	Pontianak Health Polytechnic, Indonesia