

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113199
Title of the Manuscript:	Fostering Expertise: Designing and standardizing a knowledge test for Agricultural Scientists
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This paper is important for the scientific community, especially for agriculture</p> <p>2. The article title given is by the article's contents.</p> <p>3. The abstract has fulfilled the important points.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct.</p> <p>6. Please add recent references (at least the last 5 years)</p> <p>7. The methodology must contain the type of research, research subjects, sampling, data collection instruments and tools, research procedures, and data analysis</p> <p>8. Kindly explain whether the findings obtained are supported by previous research or expert opinion that strengthens or weakens the research in discussion.</p> <p>9. Kindly attention to the journal template.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia