

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_112344
Title of the Manuscript:	Citation analysis of Post Graduate Theses submitted to Department of Renewable Energy Sources in College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Maharashtra
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Citation analyses of academic works, including theses, can provide valuable insights into the influence and impact of research within a specific field. They can help identify key contributors, trends, and the dissemination of knowledge. If the theses produced by the Department of Renewable Energy Sources have been widely cited, it may indicate their impact on the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title appears to be clear and specific about the focus of the research. It clearly indicates that the study involves a citation analysis of postgraduate theses submitted to the Department of Renewable Energy Sources in a specific institution.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the research study. It effectively communicates the following key aspects: Objective of the Study, Scope and Context, Data Overview, Methodology, Relevance and Significance. Overall, the abstract provides a clear and concise summary of the research, giving readers a good understanding of the study's objectives, scope, methodology, and key findings.</p> <p>4. Subsections and script structure are appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. References are acceptable, they use the most recent citations</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Is the quality of the language/English of the article suitable for scientific communication?</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yana Priyana
Department, University & Country	Eastasouth Institute, Indonesia