

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_112682
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical constitution and bioactivity of the essential oil from the leaves of Tapirira guianensis Aubl
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>No the title is suitable, it can be reformulated as " GC-MS, radical scavenging, acetylcholinesterase inhibition, antifungal and molecular docking studies of essential oil from the leaves of Tapirira guianensis Aubl"</p> <p>Yes the abstract is comprehensive Yes they are</p> <p>No the article isn't scientifically correct</p> <p>Nothing to say</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the English is suitable for scholarly communication but few language errors should be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Why does the authors repeat existing work which was not scientifically sound? Having clearly established the ethnobotanical use of the plant as treatment to leprosy, diarrhea and syphilis; authors would have carried out investigation on microorganisms responsible for these diseases. If not, they should tell us clearly the reasons of the choice of their methodology as compared to previous findings. Any way the results obtained should be discussed in the light of already published ones.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Toukam Djuonzo Paul
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plant Studies, Cameroon