

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111036
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of seed biopriming on germination & seedling attributes in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>it should be improved</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>"The research titled "Effect of seed bioprimer on germination and seedling properties of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)" is important and relevant. My suggestion is to rewrite the paper according to the guidelines of the journal. The introduction is too long and not well-justified. The Materials and Methods section is written in a point format, which isn't typical for research papers. The Results and Discussion section has been rearranged and includes point models without proper organization. It's also missing references to other relevant literature. While the research findings are valuable, it's essential to improve the writing style to meet the standards of a research paper.</p> <p>Some comments mentioned in the paper</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.A.I.S. Ariyaratna
Department, University & Country	Sri Lanka