

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112786
Title of the Manuscript:	Solar-Powered Winnowing for Resource Use Efficiency and Sustainable Agricultural Development
Type of the Article	Original research paper

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>A solar winnower is developed in this work. It will save time and money. This work is therefore important for the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but the number of keywords is insufficient</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes but insufficient</p> <p>No, the references are old</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do not explicitly write objectives but blend them into the text - Review all numbering. Example 1. Introduction; 2. Materials and methods; 3. Results... - In the results and discussion section, replace the word chapter with work. - In part 4.2 of the document, the text speaks of constant flow rate and subsequently in Figure 1 we present several flow rates. To be corrected for compliance. - Place legends on the figures to distinguish the different products. - The economic calculation can be deleted. - The writing of bibliographic references is not respected in the document - <p>- Serious lack of the material used and an image of the prototype. The document cannot be validated without this part.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Serious lack of the material used and an image of the prototype. The document cannot be validated without this part.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dianda Boureima
Department, University & Country	Institute of Applied Sciences and Technologies Research, National Center for Scientific and Technological Research, Burkina Faso