

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_112000</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Intention of Hair Dye Consumption Behavior among Taiwanese Adolescents: An Analysis from the Perspective of the S-O-R Model.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is important to the scientific community</p> <p>The title of the article is inappropriate</p> <p>The article abstract is incomplete</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite appropriate</p> <p>I think the text is quite scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are adequate and up to date</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the language/English is quite suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. The title of the article should be inline with the keywords in the abstract. 2. Theoretical benefits and practical benefits must be written in the abstract.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Christina Esti Susanti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Indonesia</b>