

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_112954
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC HEALTH STRATEGIES AGAINST SOCIAL STIGMA IN THE MPOX OUTBREAK
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This paper is important to share and know and understand by the public related to the MPOX outbreak so that the community can take prevention</p> <p>The title matches the content of the paper described</p> <p>The abstract presented is quite comprehensive, maybe it can be added related to the discussion of stigma and strategies that need to be done by the community</p> <p>I think this article is true because it is based on journals of search results from various data bases</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in this article still needs grammar improvement because there are still many words that are not suitable for scientific articles such as everyday language that is still widely used.</p> <p>In addition, writing also still needs to be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall this article is worth publishing after improvements</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cucu Rokayah
Department, University & Country	Bhakti Kencana University, Indonesia