

## Original Research Article

### **Growth, yield and quality of *Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by sources of calcium and sulphur under different site-specific nitrogen management in rice-groundnut cropping system**

#### **1. ABSTRACT**

2. The injudicious and imbalanced fertilizer use under the existing farming system
3. necessitates the adoption of amelioration methods and balanced use of nutrients
4. especially nitrogen (N). There is lack of information on effects of various sources of
5. calcium and sulphur in groundnut along with balanced nitrogen management which
6. needs to be addressed. A field experiment was carried out during 2020-21 and 2021-22
7. at Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India to
8. study the growth, yield and quality parameters of groundnut as affected by site specific
9. nitrogen management in rice and various sources of calcium and sulphur in groundnut.
10. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with six main plot treatments i.e.
11. Soil test based nitrogen (STBN) (100 kg N/ha), 75% N through STBN + 25% N through
12. farmyard manure (FYM), 75% N through STBN + 25% N through vermicompost, N
13. @ 20kg at basal and at leaf colour chart (LCC) < 3, N @ 20kg at basal and at chlorophyll
14. value determined by soil plant analysis development (SPAD) < 35 and no nitrogen to
15. rice during *Kharif* and three sub plot treatments i.e. lime @ 0.2 LR, gypsum @ 250
16. kg/ha and lime @ 0.2 LR + gypsum @ 250 kg/ha to groundnut during *Rabi* each in
17. three replications. Application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost
18. to preceding rice and lime @ 0.2 LR + gypsum @ 250 kg/ha to groundnut crop resulted
19. in highest plant height at harvest, number of nodules per plant and dry matter
20. accumulation at harvest. Yield attributing characters like pods/plant, kernel/pod and
21. 100 - pod weight of groundnut were highest due to application of 75 % N through STBN
22. + 25 % N through vermicompost to preceding rice (17.86, 1.87 and 67.2 g, respectively)
23. followed by 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through FYM. Application of lime @ 0.2
24. LR + gypsum @ 250 kg/ha to groundnut recorded more pods/plant (16.86), kernels/pod
25. (1.78) and 100 - pod weight (66.39 g). The treatment receiving 75 % N through STBN
26. + 25 % N through vermicompost in rice and application of lime @ 0.2 LR + gypsum @
27. 250 kg/ha to groundnut resulted significantly maximum pod yield (2,596 kg/ha and
28. 2,291 kg/ha), haulm yield (4,554 kg/ha and 4,343 kg/ha) and harvest index (36.30 %

29. and 34.38 %) in groundnut. Oil yield (682.2 kg/ha) in groundnut was recorded highest  
30. in the treatment lime @ 0.2 LR + gypsum @ 250 kg/ha followed by only gypsum @  
31. 250 kg/ha application to groundnut. Similarly, application of lime @ 0.2 LR +  
gypsum  
32. @ 250 kg/ha to groundnut recorded highest protein yield (424.6 kg/ha).

33. **Keywords:** Pod yield, lime, gypsum, vermicompost

## 34. INTRODUCTION

35. “Rice-groundnut cropping system is a major system followed in Odisha, India owing to  
36. its versatility in meeting both food security and oil needs thereby maintaining the soil  
37. health through biological nitrogen fixation. But farmers are routinely applying more  
38. nitrogen (N) fertilizer or blanket dose than a crop can use without considering the  
39. nutrient supply capacity of the soil and crop need often resulting in low N use  
efficiency  
40. and deterioration of soil health” [1]. Thus, fertilizer N recommendations must be based  
41. on the crop demand and supply capacity of the soil. Groundnut is an important edible  
42. oilseed crop that prefers mostly neutral pH. But most of the soils in Odisha are red  
43. sandy, red loamy and lateritic soils which are generally acidic and deficient in organic  
44. matter, N, calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P), molybdenum (Mo) and boron (B). Therefore,  
45. there lies a production gap due to various constraints such as non-availability of  
46. irrigated conditions, imbalance use of nutrients, soil acidic conditions etc. The potential  
47. can be increased by amelioration of soil acidity by raising pH by adding suitable  
48. quantity of lime and proper balanced use of nutrients. Also, the farmers are confined  
49. mostly in NPK fertilizers thus neglecting sulphur application which is important for oil  
50. synthesis and uptake of various macro and micronutrients in groundnut [2]. Gypsum is  
51. a soluble source of calcium and sulphur, therefore, readily available to the developing  
52. pods. Sulphur and calcium applied together are considered to be important in the pod  
53. zone for the development of pegs [3]. Applying lime in combination with gypsum  
54. would bring more Ca and/or magnesium (Mg) further down the soil profile [4], thus  
55. alleviating to some extent subsoil acidity. Lime application along with integrated  
56. nutrient management is often recommended to increase the phyto-availability of  
57. essential nutrients and ameliorate the other acidity-induced fertility constraints on such  
58. soils [5]. Thus, keeping in view the above an efficient combination of various site  
59. specific nitrogen management practices in rice along with sources of calcium and  
60. sulphur to groundnut were followed to study the growth, yield and quality traits in  
61. groundnut.

## 62. MATERIALS AND METHODS

63. A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons of 2020-21 and  
64. 2021-22 at Agronomy Main Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Odisha  
65. University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha. “The climate of the

66. area is warm and moist characterised by hot and humid summer and mild winter, and  
67. falls in the moist and hot category group. The soil of experimental plot was loamy  
68. sand in texture, acidic, medium in organic carbon, available phosphorus and  
69. potassium but low in available nitrogen. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot  
70. design with six main plot treatments i.e. Soil test based nitrogen (STBN) (100 kg  
N/ha),  
71. 75% N through STBN+ 25% N through FYM, 75% N through STBN + 25% N  
72. through vermicompost, N @ 20kg at basal and at LCC < 3, N @ 20kg at basal and at  
73. SPAD < 35 and no nitrogen to rice (var. MTU-1061) during *Kharif* and three sub plot  
74. treatments i.e. lime @ 0.2 LR (0.48 t/ha), gypsum @ 250 kg/ha and lime @ 0.2 LR +  
75. gypsum @ 250 kg/ha to groundnut (var. ICGV-91114) during *Rabi* each in three  
76. replications". [34]The groundnut variety ICGV-91114 was grown as test variety after  
rice  
77. (variety-MTU-1061) harvest during both the experimental years. Recommended  
doses  
78. of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O @ 40 kg/ha each to rice and N- P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>- K<sub>2</sub>O @ 20-40-40 kg/ha were  
79. applied to groundnut. Lime requirement was estimated by Woodruff buffer method  
and  
80. applied @ 0.2 LR i.e. 0.48 t/ha to groundnut in the respective treatments. Biometric  
81. determinations on various growth parameters like height, leaf area index and dry  
matter  
82. accumulation of groundnut have been carried out at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest on  
83. randomly selected and 10 tagged plants from each plot. Root nodules of groundnut  
were  
84. carefully separated, cleaned, counted, oven dried and weighed at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.  
85. Determinations on yield attributes like number of pods/plant, kernels/pod, pod weight  
86. and kernel weight were taken after separating the main product, cleaning, drying,  
87. counting and weighing at harvest. The shelling out turn was worked out by dividing  
88. kernel weight by pod weight and multiplying by 100. Yield determinations like pod  
and  
89. haulm yield were recorded after separation, drying and weighing after harvest. Harvest  
90. index was calculated as ratio of pod yield to pod yield and haulm yield and expressed  
91. as percentage. The oil content in kernel was estimated by Soxhlet's apparatus using  
92. petroleum ether as extractant [6] and oil yield was obtained by multiplying oil content  
with pod yield and the shelling out turn taking 8% moisture in pods into account.  
93. Oil yield (kg/ha) =

$$\frac{\text{Oil content (\%)}}{100} \times \frac{\text{Shelling out turn (\%)}}{100} \times \text{Pod yield (kg/ha)} \times \frac{\text{Moisture (\%)}}{100}$$

94. Protein content in kernels of groundnut was computed by multiplying percent seed  
95. nitrogen with a factor of 6.25 [7]. The protein yield/ha was calculated by  
96. multiplying protein content with corresponding seed yield taking into account 8%

97. moisture content in pods. The obtained results on the above characteristics in groundnut  
98. were recorded and data were analyzed statistically as per procedure prescribed by  
99. Gomez and Gomez [8].

## 100. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 101. Growth parameters of groundnut

102. Application of site-specific nitrogen management practices to preceding rice and  
103. various sources of calcium and sulphur to groundnut significantly affected different  
104. growth parameters as presented in Table 1. The plant height increased progressively  
105. with advancement in crop growth age up to harvest. With respect to site specific  
106. nitrogen management practices applied to preceding rice, application of 75 % N  
107. through STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost resulted in significantly highest plant height  
108. at harvest (38.68 cm), number of root nodules per plant (97.4), nodule weight per plant  
109. (112.9 mg/plant) at 90 DAS and dry matter accumulation at harvest (643.0 g/m<sup>2</sup>)  
110. followed by the treatment 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through FYM and STBN.  
111. Lowest values were obtained by no nitrogen treatment to preceding rice. Application  
112. of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost to rice recorded the  
113. highest leaf area index (LAI) (3.67) at 90 DAS which was at par with 75 % N through STBN  
114. + 25 % N through FYM (3.62). The maximum increase in growth characters in  
115. groundnut due to the residual effect of above site specific nitrogen management  
116. treatments might be due to combined effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers that  
117. increased nutrient availability and microbial activity, resulting in better nutrient  
118. absorption and crop growth. The results are similar to the findings of some researchers  
119. [9][10][11][12]. Similarly, pooled data showed significantly taller plant height (36.13  
120. cm), maximum number of root nodules per plant (82.0) and nodule weight per plant  
121. (95.8 mg/plant) at 90 DAS and dry matter accumulation at harvest (596.2 g/m<sup>2</sup>) by  
122. application of lime @ 0.2 LR + gypsum @ 250 kg/ha followed by the treatment lime  
123. @ 0.2 LR. Again, application of lime @ 0.2 LR + gypsum @ 250 kg/ha to groundnut  
124. produced the highest LAI (3.42) at 90 DAS which was at par with application of lime  
125. @ 0.2 LR (3.38). The increase in growth attribute when lime was added to groundnut  
126. may be because liming increases the pH levels in soil thus increasing alkalinity which  
127. provides a source of calcium and magnesium essential for plant growth. As for the  
128. increase in growth attribute when sulphur was applied may be because sulphur is  
129. essential for nitrogen-fixing nodules on legumes and in the formation of chlorophyll.  
130. Similar findings were also reported by several workers [13][14][15][16].

**Table 1. Growth parameters of groundnut as influenced by various site specific nitrogen management practices in rice and sources of lime and sulphur in groundnut (pooled over 2020-21 and 2021-22)**

Treatment	Maximum plant height at 90 DAS* at harvest (cm)	Maximum number of root nodules/plant at 90 DAS	Maximum nodule weight/plant at 90 DAS (g)	Maximum dry matter accumulation (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) at harvest	Maximum Leaf area index (LAI) at 90 DAS
<b>Site specific nitrogen management in rice</b>					
STBN (100kg N /ha)	36.53	82.1	94.9	593.7	3.44
75 % STBN + 25 % N through FYM	37.65	94.6	109.6	626.1	3.62
75 % STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost	38.68	97.4	112.9	643.0	3.67
N @ 20kg at basal and at LCC < 3	34.40	71.6	80.0	554.6	3.35
N @ 20kg at basal and at SPAD < 35	35.17	75.3	86.4	576.1	3.40
No Nitrogen	30.32	56.2	64.5	420.7	2.85
S.E(m)±	0.134	0.27	0.38	1.95	0.031
C.D. (0.05)	0.40	0.8	1.1	5.75	0.09
<b>Sources of calcium and sulphur in groundnut</b>					
Lime @ 0.2 LR	35.50	78.3	90.7	559.4	3.38
Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha	34.74	75.3	87.7	551.5	3.36
Lime @ 0.2 LR+ Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha	36.13	82.0	95.8	596.2	3.42
S.E(m)±	0.093	0.18	0.21	1.15	0.014
C.D. (0.05)	0.26	0.5	0.6	3.27	0.04
*DAS – Days after sowing					

### 131. Yield and yield attributes of groundnut

#### 132. Yield attributes

133. Among different site specific nitrogen management practices applied to preceding rice,

134. application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost to rice

135. significantly produced the highest number of pods per plant (17.86), 100 pod weight

136. (67.2 g), 100 kernel weight (47.4 g) and shelling out turn (70.6 %) followed by the

137. treatment receiving 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through FYM and STBN as per

138. pooled data. Again, application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through

139. vermicompost to rice significantly produced the highest number of kernels per pod

140. (1.87) which was found to be at par with the treatment receiving 75 % N through STBN

141. + 25 % N through FYM. Lowest values were obtained in no nitrogen treatment to rice.

142. The increased yield attributes in the inorganic with vermicompost and FYM based

143. treatments might be due to combined effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers that

144. improved soil physical conditions to conserve moisture, increased nutrient availability

145. and microbial activity, resulting in better nutrient absorption, crop growth and pod

146.formation. These are in corroboration to the findings of [10][17][12].

147.Similarly, pooled data revealed that application of lime @ 0.2 LR+ gypsum@ 250 kg/ha

148.to groundnut resulted in highest number of pods per plant (16.86), 100 pod weight (66.4

149.g), 100 kernel weight (45.9 g) and number of kernels per pod (1.78) followed by

150.application of lime @ 0.2 LR and gypsum@ 250 kg/ha when applied alone. However,

151.application of various sources of calcium and sulphur to groundnut was found to have

152.no significant effect among the treatments for shelling out turn in groundnut as per

153.pooled data (Table 2). Application of lime with gypsum resulted in higher yield

154.attributes in groundnut as there is a specific requirement of both Ca and S for normal

155.growth of groundnut as stated by [18][19]. The increase in yield attributes on

156.application of sulphur may be because of the favourable effect of sulphur on the growth

157.of groundnut [16]. The findings are in corroboration with that of [20][21][22][15].

### 158. **Yield**

159.Pooled data suggested that application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through

160.vermicompost to rice significantly produced the highest pod yield, haulm yield and

161.harvest index (2596 kg/ha, 4554 kg/ha and 36.30 %) followed by the treatment

162.receiving 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through FYM (2460 kg/ha, 4506 kg/ha and

163.35.65 %) and STBN (2270 kg/ha, 4338 kg/ha and 34.32 %). Lowest values were

164.obtained by no nitrogen treatment. Similarly, among the various sources of calcium and

165.sulphur, application of lime @ 0.2 LR+ gypsum@ 250 kg/ha to groundnut resulted in

166.highest pod yield, haulm yield and harvest index (2291 kg/ha, 4343 kg/ha and 34.38 %)

167.followed by application of lime @ 0.2 LR and gypsum @ 250 kg/ha (Table 2).

168.Due to improvement in growth attributes such as dry matter production, LAI, CGR,

169.nodule count and weight etc. owing to application of lime and gypsum along with

170.residual effect of available nutrients from vermicompost or FYM, there was better

171.translocation of photosynthates to sink leading to higher yield of groundnut.

172.Improvement in vegetative structures for nutrient absorption and photosynthesis, strong

173.sink strength through development of reproductive structures and production of

174.assimilates under influence of applied sulphur through gypsum maintained balance

175.source-sink might have resulted in increased yield attributes and thus yield. Similarly,

176.calcium through lime plays an important role in the reproductive development of

177.groundnut. This is probably because in the absence of both xylem and phloem supply

178.of Ca, the penetrating gynophores modify themselves into absorbing organs of Ca from

179.the immediate fruiting zone [23]. Several workers observed increase in groundnut yield

180.due to application of both lime and gypsum [22][24][25][16].

181. Similarly, among lime and gypsum, lime was more efficient than gypsum in increasing

182. exch. Ca in the 0-150 mm soil layer, possibly because of the higher Ca concentration

183. in the lime than in the gypsum and because of the leaching of Ca when applied as

184. gypsum to this soil [26].

**Table 2. Yield and yield attributes of groundnut as influenced by various site specific nitrogen management practices in rice and sources of lime and sulphur in groundnut (pooled over 2020-21 and 2021-22)**

Treatment	Number of pods at harvest	Number of kernels/pod	100 – pod weight (g)	100- kernel weight (g)	Shelling out turn (%)	Pod yield (kg/ha)	Haulm yield (kg/ha)	Harvest index (%)
<b>Site specific nitrogen management in rice</b>								
STBN (100kg N/ha)	16.93	1.78	66.5	45.9	69.1	2,270	4,338	34.32
75 % STBN + 25 % N through FYM	17.49	1.84	66.8	46.8	70.0	2,460	4,506	35.65
75 % STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost	17.86	1.87	67.2	47.4	70.6	2,596	4,554	36.30
N @ 20kg at basal and at LCC < 3	16.24	1.72	65.3	44.9	68.9	2,047	4,128	33.10
N @ 20kg at basal and at SPAD < 35	16.54	1.74	65.9	45.4	68.9	2,171	4,242	33.83
No Nitrogen	12.33	1.52	63.5	42.0	66.2	1,432	3,256	30.53
S.E(m)±	0.139	0.014	0.11	0.10	0.18	15.5	12.7	0.153
C.D. (0.05)	0.41	0.04	0.3	0.3	0.5	46	37	0.45
<b>Sources of calcium and sulphur in groundnut</b>								
Lime @ 0.2 LR	16.01	1.74	65.8	45.4	68.8	2,118	4,108	33.82
Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha	15.83	1.70	65.3	45.0	68.9	2,078	4,061	33.66
Lime @ 0.2 LR+ Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha	16.86	1.78	66.4	45.9	69.1	2,291	4,343	34.38
S.E(m)±	0.047	0.008	0.05	0.06	0.10	5.8	11.5	0.10
C.D. (0.05)	0.130	0.023	0.1	0.2	NS	16	33	0.27

### 185. Interaction effect

186. “The interaction effect was found to be significant among the treatments as regards to pod yield (Table 3). Application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost

188. in rice with lime @ 0.2 LR+ gypsum@ 250 kg/ha application in groundnut resulted in 189. the highest pod yield (2740 kg/ha), followed by the application of 75 % N through STBN

190. + 25 % N through FYM in rice with lime @ 0.2 LR + gypsum@ 250 kg/ha application

191. in groundnut (2592 kg/ha)”. [34] The interaction effect may be due to maintained higher pH

192.in the soil by neutralizing the acidity and by buffering action of applied organic manure

193.and liming and increased organic status of soils that improved physical conditions of

194.soils and microbial activity resulting in higher growth, yield attributes and yield. This

195.is similar to as reported by some workers [27][10].

**Table 3. Interaction effects of site specific nitrogen management practices and sources of calcium and sulphur on pod yield of groundnut (pooled over 2020-21 and 2021-22)**

Site specific nitrogen management in rice (N)	Sources of calcium and sulphur in groundnut (L)		
	Lime @ 0.2 LR	Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha	Lime @ 0.2 LR+ Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha
	Pod yield (kg/ha)		
STBN (100kg N /ha)	2229	2196	2385
75 % STBN + 25 % N through FYM	2413	2374	2592
75 % STBN + 25 % N through Vermicompost	2549	2500	2740
N @ 20kg at basal and at LCC < 3	2011	1953	2176
N @ 20kg at basal and at SPAD < 35	2128	2092	2293
No Nitrogen	1380	1354	1562
	SEm(±)		CD (0.05)
N	15.45		45.58
L	5.77		16.39
N x L	19.28		56.14
L x N	14.12		40.15

**196. Oil content and oil yield of groundnut**

197.“As per pooled analysis, application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through

198.vermicompost to rice resulted in highest oil content (48.19 %) which was found to be

199.at par with treatment receiving 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through FYM (48.03

200.%). Further, application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost to

201.rice resulted in highest oil yield (798.7 kg/ha) followed by the application of 75 % N

202.through STBN + 25 % N through FYM (749.2 kg/ha)” [34] (Table 4).Improvement

in oil

203.content of groundnut under combined and balanced application of mineral

204.fertilizers and vermicompost might be associated with improved availability and

uptake

205. efficiency of nutrients like: P, potassium (K), iron (Fe) and zinc(Zn) due to

206.vermicompost [28][29]. Similarly, application of lime @ 0.2 LR+ gypsum@ 250

kg/ha to groundnut resulted in highest oil content and oil yield (47.79 % and 682.2 kg/ha

respectively) followed by the application of gypsum @ 250 kg/ha (47.55 % and 660.5

kg/ha respectively) (Table 4). Lime and gypsum increased the oil content and yield because enough calcium content in soil around the peanut pods leads to increased yield, oil content and protein content of the kernel. Improvement in oil content with sulphur application might be due to involvement of sulphur directly in oil synthesis. These are similar as reported by [30][12].

#### 207. **Protein content and protein yield of groundnut**

208. Perusal of pooled data on protein content and protein yield of groundnut (Table 4)

209. revealed that application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost to

210. rice resulted in highest protein content (20.36 %) which was found to be at par with all

211. the treatments except no nitrogen treatment. Further, application of 75 % N through

212. STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost to rice resulted in highest protein yield (486.1

213. kg/ha) followed by the application of 75 % N through STBN + 25 % N through FYM

214. (458.5 kg/ha). The better supply of nitrogen through STBN + vermicompost might have

215. helped in better absorption and utilization of all plant nutrients and a large proportion

216. of photosynthates may have diverted to protein formation [31]. There

217. was no significant difference among the treatments due to application of sources of

218. calcium and sulphur to groundnut with regards to protein content is concerned but

219. application of lime @ 0.2 LR+ gypsum @ 250 kg/ha to groundnut resulted in highest

220. protein yield (424.6 kg/ha) followed by application of lime @ 0.2 LR (388.6 kg/ha).

221. The increase in oil yield and protein yield with the application of organic manures is

222. consequence of the increase in oil content and protein content and grain yield [32].

223. Higher oil yield and oil content with increased application of sulphur also attributed to

224. protein and enzyme synthesis as it is a constituent of sulphur containing amino acids

225. namely methionine, cysteine and cystine. Similar results have been reported by [33][30][29].

**Table 4. Oil content, oil yield, protein content and protein yield of groundnut as influenced by various site specific nitrogen management practices in rice and sources of lime and sulphur in groundnut (pooled over 2020-21 and 2021-22)**

Treatment	Oil content (%)	Oil yield (kg/ha)	Protein content (%)	Protein yield (kg/ha)
<b>Site specific nitrogen management in rice</b>				
STBN (100kg N/ha)	47.71	684.2	20.11	420
75 % STBN + 25 % N through FYM	48.03	749.2	20.28	458.5
75 % STBN + 25 % N through vermicompost	48.19	798.7	20.36	486.1
N @ 20kg at basal and at LCC < 3	47.43	651	19.98	375.8
N @ 20kg at basal and at SPAD < 35	47.67	648.5	20.07	400.2
No Nitrogen	46.39	452.3	18.61	245.3
S.E(m)±	0.094	14.68	0.24	6.55
C.D. (0.05)	0.28	43.3	0.71	19.3
<b>Sources of calcium and sulphur in groundnut</b>				
Lime @ 0.2 LR	47.37	649.2	19.87	388.6
Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha	47.55	660.5	19.74	379.7
Lime @ 0.2 LR+ Gypsum@ 250 kg/ha	47.79	682.2	20.08	424.6
S.E(m)±	0.06	6.79	0.122	2.62
C.D. (0.05)	0.17	19.3	NS	7.4

## 226. CONCLUSION

227. From the above study, it may be concluded that, a combination of inorganic and organic

228. source of nitrogen particularly 75% STBN + 25% N either through vermicompost or

229. FYM to rice along with lime + gypsum to succeeding groundnut can be recommended

230. to farmers of Odisha, India for enhancing the growth, yield and quality parameters

231. of groundnut.

## 232. References

1. Dobermann, A. & White, P.F. 1998. Strategies for nutrient management in irrigated and rainfed lowland rice systems. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. **53**: 1-18.
2. Singh, LA. 1999. Mineral Nutrition of Groundnut. *Scientific Publishers, India*. **2**: 161-200.
3. Geethalakshmi, V. and Loudurgraj, A.A. 1998. Effect of gypsum application on yield and economics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). *Legume Research*. **21**(1): 13-16.
4. Shamshuddin, J. and Ismail, H. 1995. Reactions of ground magnesium limestone and gypsum in soil soils with variable-charge minerals. *Soil Sci. Am. J.* **59**: 106-112.
5. Kumar, M., Khan, M.H., Singh, P., Ngachan, S.V., Rajkhowa, D.J., Kumar, A., Devi, M.H., 2012. Variable lime requirement based on differences in organic matter content

of iso-acidic soils. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming*. **25**(1), 26–30.

6. Sankaran, A. 1965. *A Laboratory Manual for Agricultural Chemistry*. Asia Pub., Bombay: 258.
7. AOAC. 1960. Official and Tentative Methods of Analysis, 9th Ed., Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington.
8. Gomez, K.A and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. A Willey Inter Science Publication, 76-83.
9. Channaveerswami, A.S. 2005. Studies on integrated nutrient management and planting methods on seed yield and quality of groundnut. *Ph.D. Thesis (published)*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka.
10. Singh, B.K., Pathak, K.A., Verma, A.K., Verma, V.K. and Deka, B.C. 2011. Effects of vermicompost, fertilizer and mulch on Plant growth, nodulation and pod yield of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Veg Crop Res Buln*. **74**: 153-165.
11. Javed, S. and Panwar, A. 2013. Effect of biofertilizer, vermicompost and chemical fertilizer on different biochemical parameters of *Glycine max* and *Vigna mungo*. *Recent Res Sci Technol*. **5**: 40-44.
12. Bekele, G., Dechassa, N., Tana, T. and Sharma, J.J. 2019. Effects of nitrogen, phosphorus and vermicompost on groundnut yield in Babile District, eastern Ethiopia. *Oil Crop Science*. **4**(2): 75-89.
13. Mandal, P.S. and Mandal, L.N. 1998. Effect of molybdenum, phosphorus and lime application to acid soils on dry matter yield and molybdenum nutrition of lentil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. **21**:139-147.
14. Noman, H.M., Rana, D.S. and Rana, K.S. 2015. Influence of sulphur and zinc levels and zinc solubilizer on productivity, economics and nutrient uptake in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.), *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **60**(2): 301–306.
15. Das, S., Das, A., Idapuganti, R.G., Layek, J. and Chowdhury, S. 2016. Growth and physiology of groundnut as influenced by micronutrients and liming in acid soil of north east India. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming*. **29**(20):40-47.

16. Aier, I. and Nongmaithem, D. 2020. Response of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to Lime and Different Levels of Sulphur. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. **11**(6):585-589.
17. Sengupta, A.S., Gunri, K. and Basu, T.K. 2016. Performance of short duration groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) variety (TG 51) as influenced by nutrient management strategy under new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Legume Res.* **39**(1): 91-95.
18. Harris, H.C. 1968. Calcium and boron effects on Florida peanuts. Tech. Bull. 723, Florida Agriculture Experiment Station, 18.
19. Tandon, H.L.S. 1991. Sulphur Research and Agricultural production in India (3 Edn). The Sulphur Institute. Washington, D.C. USA, pp.140.
20. Dosani, A.A.K., Chatterjee, A., Talashilkar, S.C., Dhopavkar, R.V. and Meheta, V.B. 2003. Changes in soil acidity as influenced by lime application in groundnut in alfisols of Konkan. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.* **73**:618-19.
21. Dutta, R., Gogoi, P.K., Sarma, B.N., Barman, P. and Decka, N.C. 2004. Effect of levels of lime and phosphorus on production of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Leg. Res.* **27**(4): 274-277.
22. Mandal, S., Samui, R.C. and Mondal, A. 2005. Growth, yield and yield attributes of groundnut cultivars as influenced by gypsum application. *Legume Res.* **28**: 119-21.
23. Singh, R.A. 2007. Effect of variable doses of potassium, sulphur and calcium on pod yield of short duration summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Internat. J. agric. Sci.* **3**(1) : 196-198.
24. Shamshuddin, J., Fauziah, I.C. and Bell, L.C. 2009. Effect of Dolomitic Limestone and Gypsum Applications on Soil Solution Properties and Yield of Corn and Groundnut Grown on Ultisols. *Malaysian Journal of Soil Science.* **13**: 1-12.
25. Ghosh, A., Singh, V.J., Srihima, G., Sahoo, P. and Chakraborti, S.K. 2015. Effect of gypsum and lime on seed yield parameters of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Journal Crop and Weed.* **11**:5-9.
26. Blamey, F.P.C. and Chapman, J. 1982. Soil amelioration effects on peanut growth, yield and quality. *Plant and Soil.* **65**: 319-334.

27. Pattanayak, S.K., Mishra, U.K., Sarkar, A.K. and Majumdar, K. 2011. Integrated nutrient management for groundnut and red gram on acid soils of Odisha. *Better Crops – South Asia*. **95**(2): 8-10.
28. Naveen, K.H. and Mevada, K.D. 2012. Performance of different composts and biofertilizer on yield and quality of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Adv Res J Crop Improv*. **3**(1): 17-20.
29. Chaudhary, J.H., Sutaliya, R. and Desai, L.J. 2015. Growth, yield, yield attributes and economics of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Journal of Applied & Natural Sciences*. **7**(1): 369-72.
30. Rao, K.T., Rao, A.U. and Sekhar, D. 2013. Effect of Sources and Levels of Sulphur on Groundnut. *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research (JAI)*. **2**(5): 268-270.
31. Sharma, S., Jat, N.L., Puniya, M.M., Shivran, A.C. and Choudhary, S. 2014. Fertility level and biofertilizer on nutrient concentration, uptake and quality of groundnut. *Annals of Agricultural New Series*. **35**:71-74.
32. Saxena, H.S., Mandal, M.M.A. and Chandel, A.S. 2001. Effect of inorganic and organic sources of nutrients on soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **44**:135-140.
33. Basu, M., Bhadoria, P.B.S. and Mahapatra, S.C. 2008. Growth, nitrogen fixation, yield and kernel quality of peanut in response to lime, organic and inorganic fertilizer levels. *Bioresource Technology*. **99**: 4675-4683.
34. Nayak R, Satapathy M, Rath B, Panda RK, Paikaray RK, Jena S. Effect of site specific nitrogen management on growth, yield attributes and yield of kharif rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in rice-groundnut cropping system in Odisha.