

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_113105
Title of the Manuscript:	Narratives of The Artistry in The House of Chief Golobdan of Tongo: An Afrocentric Aesthetics Review
Type of the Article	Original research papers

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. The manuscript titled "Narratives of The Artistry in The House of Chief Golobdan of Tongo: An Afrocentric Aesthetics Review" is significant for the scientific community, particularly in the fields of art history and cultural studies. It offers an in-depth examination of the indigenous artistry in Chief Golobdan's residence in Tongo, Ghana, exploring the symbolic and philosophical aspects of these artistic forms. The study establishes connections between traditional art forms and contemporary artistic practices, employing Afrocentric aesthetic theories. This research highlights the need for greater scholarly discourse on African art history and aesthetics, emphasizing the importance of understanding and appreciating the rich artistic heritage embedded in indigenous African practices.</p> <p>2. Yes. The title is good, but the conjunction needs to be in lowercase</p> <p>3. The abstract needs improvement; please include the research objectives, methods, results, discussion, and conclusions directly. It should be adjusted according to this journal's guidelines.</p> <p>4. No. The writing structure in this journal begins with: 1) Introduction, 2) Methodology, 3) Results and Discussion, 4) Conclusion, and References. References in the article should follow APA style. It does not align with this journal's guidelines.</p> <p>5. It is advisable to use references from the last 10 years, except for those related to theories</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riza Bahtiar Sulistyan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Bandung, Indonesia