

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_113105
Title of the Manuscript:	Narratives of The Artistry in The House of Chief Golobdan of Tongo: An Afrocentric Aesthetics Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript important for the scientific community because gave information about the artistic manifestations within Chief Golobdan's residence serve as a contemporary reflection of prevailing conceptual art practices embraced by artists globally. In addition the manuscript for future generation because exposition within Chief Golobdan's abode vividly illustrates that contemporary conceptual arts, particularly installations and performance art, are not novel or emerging within Ghanaian traditional societies. It benefits to documentation of African cultural heritage for sustainable development. These practices are deeply embedded in the cultural heritage of traditional African communities. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and good, but it has some mistakes in some sentences such as: The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work... These sentences have nothing to do with the content of the article. 4. The subsections and structure are good. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. It is sufficient but not recent very old paper. The references number 1-7-8-9 not recent, must have the last 10 years. <p>Complete search in title: investment of Chief Golobdan's site in tourism industry.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Correct	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The author must propose the site for tourism investments and to achieve socio-economic and tourism development.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Abdulkader Alghafri
Department, University & Country	Damascus University, Syria