

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_113105</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Narratives of The Artistry in The House of Chief Golobdan of Tongo: An Afrocentric Aesthetics Review</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript has a certain degree of scientific validity. Express the connection between form and artistic connotation through first-hand visual data collected from field surveys.</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title of the article is suitable.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b></p> <p>5. <b>The author conducted on-site investigations on the materials and structures of the building, and the manuscript reflects survey data on location and space, which has a certain degree of scientific validity.</b></p> <p>6. <b>The manuscript has sufficient references, but only one reference from 2020.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Suggest increasing the number of words in the manuscript and providing in-depth descriptions of aesthetic experiences related to building installations.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jiao Zhentao
Department, University & Country	School of Arts, Renmin University of China, China