

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112732
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHOOL-BASED MANAGEMENT, SCHOOL STRUCTURE, PAY -FOR-PERFORMANCE: A CAUSAL MODEL ON EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please see the first page of this reviewer form.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Definitely yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study maybe considered common as there are existing studies about SBM that has been made and published. What makes this study unique and relevant is that some of its variables are not commonly utilized. In the field of education where change is the only constant thing, this study will provide educators most specially administrators the ideas and updates on things to do and enhanced in school operation for better educational outcomes and performance. Congratulations!</p> <p>Type of the Article Title is highly appropriate to the research study.</p> <p>Abstract of the Article Well-Crafted</p> <p>Introduction of the Article Well-Articulated</p> <p>Research Design of the Article Well-Crafted</p> <p>Sampling Design and Technique of the Article Replace one (1) cited author as it is too outdated. Change the red colored numbers to black.</p> <p>Respondents of the Study Well-Crafted</p> <p>Data Analysis Well-Crafted</p> <p>Results and Discussion Font size of Table 5, 6, and 7 entries were reduced for better presentation and analysis of the readers.</p> <p>Overall analysis and interpretations were greatly presented with rich RRL</p> <p>Conclusion and Recommendation Well-Crafted</p> <p>References Well Presented</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richard M. Oco
Department, University & Country	Alubijid National Comprehensive High School, The New El Salvador Colleges, Southern de Oro Philippines College, Philippines