

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_112309
Title of the Manuscript:	KAPOSIS SARCOMA OF TONSILS
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. 2. Yes. Need a minor revision with respect to SCARE criteria as mentioned by author. 3. No. Need to rewrite the case presentation- English language, sentence forming and punctuation marks. 4. Yes. 5. Yes, with few additions if author can add on- radiotherapy dose and duration of follow-up. 6. Yes. <p>Interesting case worth reporting. Author's efforts to put the important scientific material in a concise form are appreciated. Needs language editing.</p> <p>Additional: 1. Abbreviations to be elaborated at its first appearance in the text. 2. Avoid words like 'only' – e.g. a. In abstract-clinical discussion- line 2 b. in introduction-line 9, instate may write- 'affecting the palatine tonsil alone' 3. Revise key words as per SCARE criteria as mentioned by author: add the word 'case report'. 4. Rewrite highlights- remove point 2. Add- 1. tonsil as rare site, with further scarcity in HIV –ve. 2. management as per site and stage. 3. joint discussion(treating team) is key to success.</p> <p>5. revisit references- Vancouver style. Reference number 1 and 8- remove pubmed/google scolar Remove issue numbers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language corrections. Needs revision for - Punctuation marks, sentence forming, proper words. E.g. abstract: a. surgery is the 'reference treatment'- instead 'radical treatment'. b. symptoms vary according to the seat and volume- site and volume c. page 2, para2-line 4-are to be replaced with were, d. page 3, para 1, line 6-was to be replaced with were 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chaitali M Waghmare
Department, University & Country	DBVPRMC, PIMS-DU, India