

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SARJNP_111316 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | GC-MS analysis of Spondias Cytherea fruits and leaves |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The article presents a comprehensive analysis of the chemical composition of Spondias cytherea fruits and leaves using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). The structure of the article is well-organized, moving from the abstract to the introduction, methods, results, and conclusion. However, some areas could benefit from improvement, such as clarity in presentation and referencing style.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p><i>Clarity in Tables: While the tables are informative, some columns and data entries lack clear labels, making it challenging for readers to interpret the information. Providing more detailed explanations for column headers and units would enhance clarity.</i></p> <p><i>In-text Citations and References: The article lacks in-text citations, making it difficult for readers to identify specific sources for the information presented. Proper referencing style (e.g., APA, MLA) should be followed consistently throughout the article.</i></p> <p><i>Visual Presentation: Figures 1 and 2 could be enhanced for clarity. Including a legend to explain the compounds represented in the chromatograms would improve understanding. Additionally, the chemical structures in the figures could be labeled for easier identification.</i></p> <p>Grammar and Language: Some sentences are lengthy and complex, affecting the overall readability. Breaking down complex sentences and ensuring proper grammar would improve the flow of the article</p> <hr/> <p>Areas for Improvement:</p> <p>Clarity in Tables: While the tables are informative, some columns and data entries lack clear labels, making it challenging for readers to interpret the information. Providing more detailed explanations for column headers and units would enhance clarity.</p> <p>In-text Citations and References: The article lacks in-text citations, making it difficult for readers to identify specific sources for the information presented. Proper referencing style (e.g., APA, MLA) should be followed consistently throughout the article.</p> <p>Visual Presentation: Figures 1 and 2 could be enhanced for clarity. Including a legend to explain the compounds represented in the chromatograms would improve understanding. Additionally, the chemical structures in the figures could be labeled for easier identification.</p> <p>Grammar and Language: Some sentences are lengthy and complex, affecting the overall</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>readability. Breaking down complex sentences and ensuring proper grammar would improve the flow of the article.</p> <p>Conclusion Section: The conclusion provides a good summary of the findings but could be expanded to discuss potential applications of the results and suggest areas for future research.</p> <p>Suggestions for Future Work:</p> <p>Biological Activity: Consider exploring the biological activities of the identified compounds, especially those with potential health benefits. This could provide further insights into the medicinal properties of Spondias cytherea.</p> <p>Comparison with Previous Studies: Include a brief comparison with existing literature to highlight how the findings of this study contribute to the current body of knowledge.</p> | |
|--|---|--|

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Rajdip Utane |
| Department, University & Country | Sant Gadge Maharaj College, India |