

Response of phosphorus and biofertilizers on nutrient content and uptake by Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.).

ABSTRACT

A two-year field experiment conducted at Rama University's farm in Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh during the kharif seasons of 2022 and 2023 aimed to assess the impact of different nutrient management strategies on nutrient uptake. The study incorporated four varieties—PDM-139, IPM 2-3, Meha, and IPM 2-14—alongside varying levels of nutrient management practices. These practices involved N0 (Control), N1, N2, and N3, each with distinct combinations of nitrogen, phosphorus, zinc sulfate, seed treatment with rhizobium culture, and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB). The experiment followed a factorial randomized block design with three replications. Findings indicated that the application of 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹, coupled with seed treatment involving rhizobium culture and PSB at 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ in soil, significantly enhanced nutrient uptake in the Central alluvial tract of Uttar Pradesh.

Keywords : Phosphorus levels, PSB, Greengram, Rhizobium inoculation, Nutrient content, Mungbean.

Introduction :

Green gram holds the position as the third most significant pulse crop across India due to its versatility. It serves various purposes as a vegetable, pulse, fodder, and green manure crop. Its significance lies in its high seed protein content and its ability to enhance soil fertility through symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Despite occupying substantial agricultural space and contributing significantly to production, the yield of green gram remains lower than the global average. This could be attributed to inadequate nutrient management practices. Farmers often refrain from using fertilizers and tend to cultivate green gram in less fertile, marginal soils, especially under rainfed conditions. Being a short-duration pulse crop, it can be grown as a catch crop during both the kharif and rabi seasons.

Phosphorus stands as a crucial nutrient, second only to nitrogen. Merely 25 to 30 percent of applied phosphorus is directly accessible to crops; the rest transforms into insoluble forms

(Sharma and Khurana, 1997). Its deficiency stands as a primary factor causing low yields in mungbeans across various soil types. Phosphorus deficiency affects nodule stimulation, root development, growth, and accelerates maturity while enhancing the quality of crop yields. Biofertilizers, an integral part of balanced nutrient management, offer a cost-effective, eco-friendly, and renewable alternative to bulky, low-cost plant nutrient supplements in sustainable agricultural systems in India. Given the soaring costs of chemical fertilizers, the role of biofertilizers holds particular significance. Inoculating pulse seeds with phosphorus-solubilizing agents aims to increase their presence in the rhizosphere, substantially boosting phosphorus availability for plant growth. Phosphorus-solubilizing microorganisms—both bacteria and fungi—facilitate the release of phosphorus for plant uptake (Venkatarao et al., (2017). Consequently, this study was conducted to enhance mungbean productivity in the Central alluvial region of Uttar Pradesh.

Materials and methods :

The investigation took place at the experimental field of Rama University in Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, during the kharif seasons of 2022 and 2023. Its aim was to assess how nutrient management and biofertilizers impacted the yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) varieties. The region experiences a sub-tropical semi-arid climate. The experiment employed a Factorial Randomized Block Design, incorporating sixteen treatments replicated three times. These treatments comprised four mung bean varieties: C1: PDM-139, C2: IPM 2-3, C3: Meha, C4: IPM 2-14, and four levels of nutrient management: N0: Control, N1: 10 kg N + 20 kg P₂O₅ + 12.5 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹ + seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ in soil, N2: 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹, N3: 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹ + seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ in soil, The nutrient content of N, P, K was determined following the methodologies recommended by Snell and Snell (1955) for nitrogen, Jackson (1958) for phosphorus, and Muhr et al. (1963) for potassium.

Results and Discussion:

The data in Table-1 show nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium content (%) in both the seed and stover of greengram. Surprisingly, the application of phosphorus didn't significantly

impact these content levels. However, numerically, the N3 level of nutrient management—receiving 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹ alongside seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ in soil—yielded the highest NPK content in both seed and stover of greengram. These findings echo those of Chaudhari et al. (2022) and Niraj et al. (2014). Interestingly, the highest nitrogen uptake by seed and stover occurred with the application of 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹, along with seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ in soil, followed by the N2 treatment: 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹, with the lowest uptake observed under the control (N0). The variety Meha exhibited the highest nitrogen uptake, followed by PDM-139, while the lowest values were noted in IPM 2-14 during the observed years (Table-2). Regarding phosphorus uptake by seed and stover, cv. Meha displayed significantly higher uptake with the application of 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹, coupled with seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ in soil. This trend was observed across both years, aligning with the findings of Rani et al. (2016) and Murari et al. (2013). Moreover, the highest potassium uptake was recorded under the N3 level of nutrient management. Similar observations were reported by Mandal et al. (2005) and Bairwa et al. (2014).

Conclusion :

On the basis of results of the experiment, it can be inferred that among mungbean cultivars cv. Meha with application of 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg ZnSO₄.H₂O ha⁻¹ + seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ incorporated in the soil excelled overall and have shown significant effect in improving the soil health as well as uptake of nutrients in central alluvial tract of Uttar Pradesh.

References :

- Bairwa, R.K., Nepalia, V., Balai, C.M., Jalwania, R. and Meena, H.P. (2014). Yield and nutrient uptake of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) under different levels of phosphorus and sulphur fertilizations. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 12(1):162-172.
- Chaudhari, G.I., Patel, N.I., Patel, D.M. and Nisarata, N.V. (2022). Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on nutrient content and uptake by greengram

(*Vigna radiata* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* , 11(3):1432-1435.

Jackson, M.L.(1958). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall Inc. England Cliffs N.J., U.S.A.

Muhr, G.R., Datt, N.P., Shankra, Subramaney, M., Lieley, V.K. and Donahue, R.L. (1963). *Soil Testing in India*, USDA Handbook-60.

Murari, A.K., Mathur, H.S., Meena, R.L. and Solanki, R.L. (2013). Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield quality and nutrient uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, 31(1A):325-327.

Niraj, V.P.S. and Prakash, V. (2014). Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on growth and yield and quality of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo* L.). *Asian Journal of Soil Science*, 9(1):117-120.

Rani, M., Prakash, V. and Khan, K.(2016). Response of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) to phosphorus, sulphur and PSB during summer season. *Agriculture Science Digest*, 36(2):146-148.

Sharma, M.P. and Khurana, A.S. (1997). Biofertilizers, Farmer and Parliament. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 38:17-18.

Snell, F.D. and Snell, T.C. (1955). *Ammonia "Colorimetric Method of Analysis"*, Vol. II, Inorganic D. Van Nostrand Co-Inc. Princeton, New Jersey, New York, 808-819.

Venkatarao, C.V., Naga, S.R., Yadav, B.L., Koli, D.K. and Rao, I.J. (2017). Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Indian Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(7):3992-3997.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table-2 : : Effect of nutrient management on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake by seed and stover of mung bean cultivars

Symbol	Treatment	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)				Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)				Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)			
		By seed		By stover		By seed		By stover		By seed		By stover	
		2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
	Cultivars												
C ₁	PDM-139	33.24	30.34	27.71	24.15	4.70	4.14	5.67	4.71	11.36	10.27	39.77	34.85
C ₂	IPM 2-3	28.53	25.74	23.93	21.15	3.99	3.57	4.64	3.99	9.81	8.96	35.00	30.64
C ₃	Meha	38.48	34.78	31.64	28.24	5.36	4.83	6.57	5.28	12.79	11.75	44.91	39.69
C ₄	IPM 2-14	27.03	23.53	22.74	19.96	3.81	3.29	4.46	3.79	9.45	8.25	33.45	29.26
	SE (d)	1.72	1.63	1.03	0.99	0.48	0.33	0.38	0.28	0.50	0.63	1.59	1.57
	CD (P = 0.05)	3.51	3.32	2.10	2.02	0.99	0.67	0.78	0.57	1.02	1.28	3.25	3.21
	Nutrient management												
N ₀	Control	18.41	17.54	17.40	16.18	2.57	2.48	3.23	3.03	6.96	6.64	27.08	25.48
N ₁	10 kg N + 20 kg P ₂ O ₅ + 12.5 kg ZnSO ₄ .H ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha ⁻¹ in soil	31.96	29.08	27.28	23.46	4.45	3.92	5.23	4.36	10.85	9.79	38.89	33.56
N ₂	20 kg N + 40 kg P ₂ O ₅ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ .H ₂ O ha ⁻¹	35.06	31.06	28.91	25.19	4.92	4.29	5.85	4.78	11.69	10.54	41.16	35.50
N ₃	20 kg N + 40 kg P ₂ O ₅ + 25 kg ZnSO ₄ .H ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + seed treated with rhizobium culture + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha ⁻¹ in soil	41.85	36.70	32.42	28.66	5.92	5.16	7.03	5.60	13.91	12.27	46.00	39.90
	SE (d)	1.72	1.63	1.03	0.99	0.48	0.33	0.38	0.28	0.50	0.63	1.59	1.57
	CD (P = 0.05)	3.51	3.32	2.10	2.02	0.99	0.67	0.78	0.57	1.02	1.28	3.25	3.21