

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_110643
Title of the Manuscript:	Taxonomic Diversity of Climbers and the Preferred Support Plant Species at Brackenhurst Botanical Garden, Kiambu County, Central Kenya.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, as the author has mentioned that this is the first expert-verified checklist of climbing plants and support flora of the area and it is important to conserve our resources that we must have knowledge about their presence.</p> <p>2. Yes the title seems suitable to me.</p> <p>3. According to the information mentioned in the manuscript abstract seems comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Structure and subsections could be better as in the methods section author should mention about the methods on which basis they are recognising the supporting plants for the creepers of a particular family as shown in the results. Also details of some keys used in the table are not mentioned. Map of the study area can be attached for better understanding about the study. In the discussion section author should also mention the importance of the study and how it could be helpful for scientific community or for locals. How the study is helpful for conservation of the studied flora? Conclusion section should also include the applicability of the research.</p> <p>5. Proper methods need to be mentioned so that research can be replicable.</p> <p>6. Seems enough according to the information mentioned in the manuscript but all references should follow the same format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes it is good</p> <p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>Advanced aspects can be added like conservation strategy to conserve the vulnerable species or ethno botanical studies etc.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyal Vijayvargiya
Department, University & Country	University of Kota, India