

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_111500</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Revolutionizing Milk Tea: Harnessing Mesocarp Flour to a Tapioca Pearl for Sustainable Innovation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><i>Nypa fruticans</i> is a unique underutilised plant gaining global recognition with so many economic benefits for sustainable product development, hence; this manuscript is welcomed in the scientific field.</p> <p>In order to justify the research work carried out by the researcher, a suitable alternative title for this article would be 'Transforming Milk Tea: Harnessing <i>Nypa Fruticans</i> Mesocarp Flour as an alternative for Tapioca Pearl for Sustainable Product Development and Innovation'</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are adequate enough.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The researcher needs to adhere to the referencing style provided by the journal, as this constitutes to the major corrections in the manuscript. Also, the in- text citations mentioned in the manuscript should correspond to References provided.</p> <p>Researcher is advised to carry out proximate analysis on <i>Nypa fruticans</i> flour to determine the Nutritional characteristics of the flour. Microbiological assessment (Bacterial and fungal counts) should be carried out before sensory evaluation for consumers' health and safety. Researcher should endeavour to go through the requirements and samples of recent articles published by the journal for proper guide and assistance.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality (English) utilised is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ayoade Rukayat Biodun</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kaduna State University, Nigeria</b>